Diurnal variations of $pCO₂$ in relation to environ**mental factors in the cascade reservoirs along the Wujiang River, China**

PENG Xi^{1,2}, WANG Baoli^{1*}, LIU Congqiang¹, LIU Xiaolong^{1,2}, and WANG Fushun³

1 State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550002, China

2 Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

3 Applied Radiation Institute, School of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200433, China

** Corresponding author, E-mail: baoliwang@163.com*

Received November 1, 2010; accepted December 10, 2010

© Science Press and Institute of Geochemistry, CAS and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

Abstract We have investigated the diurnal variations of the $pCO₂$ and related environmental factors in the cascade reservoirs with different trophic levels along Wujiang River. In surface water the *p*CO₂ was 357±11 μatm in Hongjiadu Reservoir, 338±48 μatm in Dongfeng Reservoir, 682±303 μatm in Wujiangdu Reservoir, and 1677±429 μatm in Liuguang, respectively. The results indicated that these cascade reservoirs had much lower pCO_2 values in surface water than river did, and hypereutrophic reservoir showed larger diurnal variations of $pCO₂$ than meso-eutrophic reservoir. In water column, *pCO*₂ tended to increase with the depth. Phytoplankton and the environmental factors such as temperature and pH had different influences on *pCO*₂ diurnal variations due to different trophic levels, and the effect of phytoplankton on $pCO₂$ variation increased with the increase of trophic level in these reservoirs.

Key words diurnal variations; pCO_2 ; environmental factor; cascade reservoirs

1 Introduction

In recent years, the frequency of extreme climatic event presents a growing tendency in the world (An Zhisheng and Fu Longbin, 2001), and thus the related scientific research highlights concern about emission of greenhouse gases (GHGs). Global atmospheric concentrations of GHGs have increased markedly as a result of human activities since 1750, and the atmospheric concentrations of $CO₂$ (379 μatm) and $CH₄$ (1774 μatm) in 2005 exceeded by far the natural range over the last 650000 years (IPCC, 2007). Thus the greenhouse effect enhances and thereby becomes a serious environmental problem (Stephen, 1988; Cook et al., 2007; Korhomen et al., 1993; Nusbaumer and Matsumoto, 2008). In the past people thought fossil fuel as one of the major contributors of $CO₂$ and hydroelectricity as clean energy and they were not related to the global warming (Fearnside, 2002). However, at present the phenomenon that reservoirs release GHGs is paid more and more attention, which causing fierce arguments in the scientific community (Rosa et al., 2004; Milliman, 1997; Fearnside, 2005).

There is consequently growing worldwide concern to determine the contribution of freshwater reservoirs to increasing GHGs concentrations in the atmosphere (St. Louis et al., 2000). Moreover, evaluation of net GHG emissions from reservoirs is becoming more and more crucial to ensure accurate comparisons of energy production methods, evaluations of $CO₂$ credits, and determination of national GHGs inventories (Demarty, 2009). In this study, we have investigated diurnal variations of the $pCO₂$ in the cascade reservoirs with different trophic levels along the impounded Wujiang River. Our aim is to understand the diurnal variations of the $pCO₂$ in relation to the environmental factors in these cascade reservoirs and this work may have an important significance in choosing the applicable sampling time for the $pCO₂$ research in the impounded river in a large scale.

2 Study sites and methods

2.1 Study sites

The 1037 km-long Wujiang River is a southern tributary of the Yangtse River, and it has a runoff of 53.4 billion cubic meters with a fall of 2124 m. The river is a major power source for China's massive West-to-East Power Transmission Project. The study area belongs to subtropical monsoon humid climate zone with the average annual temperature of 12.3° C.

The average temperatures in January (the coldest month) and July (the hottest month) are 3.5 and 26.0° C, respectively. The annual precipitation ranges from 1100 to 1300 mm, and the precipitation from May to October accounts for about 75% of the total annual precipitation.

2.2 Sampling

Sample collection was carried out in the Hongjiadu Reservoir (HJD), Dongfeng Reservoir (DF), Wujiangdu Reservoir (WJD), and Liuguang Reservoir (LG) during August $18^{th} - 24^{th}$, 2008 (Fig.1). Surface water sample was gotten every two hours and for water profile sample every six hours in each study sites. Data of pH, water temperature, chlorophyll, and dissolved oxygen were measured in situ by using an automated multi-parameter profiler (model YSI 6600), and alkalinity was titrated with HCl on the spot. Samples for major cations and anions were filtered through 0.45 μm filters. Samples for cation analysis were acidified to pH 2 with ultrapurified $HNO₃$. Major cations $(Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, K^+, and Na^+)$ were analyzed with atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS, PE51002, America) and the anions $(SO₄², CI, and NO₃)$ by using high performance liquid chromatography (HP1100, SHIMADZU, Japan). Water samples for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were filtered through glass fiber filter, and were added into concentrated H2SO4, and then were kept frozen until analysis. DOC was determined using OI Analytical Aurora 1030 TOC analyzer with limit of detection of 0.01 mg m⁻³.

2.3 Calculation of the partial pressure of carbon dioxide

The partial pressure of carbon dioxide $(pCO₂)$ in the water body can be calculated from water temperature, pH, the concentrations of cations and anions based on the balance principle of $CO₂$ in the water body [formula (1)–formula (4)] (e.g. Yao Guanrong et al., 2007; Yu Yuanxiu et al., 2008).

$$
CO2 H2O \Leftrightarrow H2CO3* \Leftrightarrow
$$

H⁺+HCO₃⁻ \Leftrightarrow 2H⁺+CO₃² (1)

$$
Kco_2 = [H_2CO_3^*]/[pCO_2]
$$
 (2)

$$
K_1 = [H^+] [HCO_3^-]/[H_2CO_3^*]
$$
 (3)

$$
K_2 = [H^+] [CO_3^-]/[HCO_3^-]
$$
 (4)

In above formulas K*i* are equilibrium constants and can be calculated through below formulas.

$$
pKco_2 = -7 \times 10^{-5}T^2 + 0.016T + 1.11 \tag{5}
$$

$$
pK_1 = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}T^2 - 0.012T + 6.58
$$
 (6)

$$
pK_2 = 9 \times 10^{-5}T^2 - 0.0137T + 10.62
$$
 (7)

According to Henry's law, we can calculate $pCO₂$ through following formulas.

$$
pCO2 = [H2CO3*]/Kco2=\alpha(H+)
$$

\n
$$
\alpha(HCO3)/(Kco2×K1)
$$
\n(8)

In above formula, α (H⁺) and α (HCO₃⁻) are activities of H^+ and HCO₃⁻, respectively.

$$
\alpha \left(\mathbf{H}^+ \right) = 10^{-\left[\text{ pH } \right]}
$$
 (9)

$$
\alpha \, (\text{HCO}_3^-) = [\text{HCO}_3^-] \times 10^{-0.5 \times \sqrt{t}} \qquad (10)
$$

$$
I = 0.5 \times (\text{ [K}^+] + \text{[Ca}^{2+}] \times 4 + \text{[Na}^+] + \text{[Mg}^{2+}] \times 4 + \text{[CI]} + \text{[SO}_4^{2-}] \times 4 + \text{[NO}_3^-] + \text{[HCO}_3^-]/1000000} \tag{11}
$$

In the last formula I is ionic strength.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of data was mainly conducted with the software SPSS (version 16.0; SPSS Inc.). Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis was carried out.

3. Results

3.1 Hydrographic geochemical conditions

The Wujiang River drainage basin is located in the karst area of Guizhou Province. The river water chemistry is controlled by carbonate dissolution by both carbonic and sulfuric acid, and dominated by $Ca²⁺$, HCO₃, Mg²⁺, and SO₄² (Table 1). The ions K⁺, Ca^{2+} , Na⁺, Mg²⁺, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻ and NO₃⁻ did not show significant diurnal variations in concentration in this study, however, HCO₃ did.

 a Average value \pm standard deviation.

Fig. 1. The location of sampling sites. ^{*} Impounded time.

Temperature (*T*), pH, chlorophyll, and dissolved oxygen (DO) were determined in this work (Table 2). *T*, pH, and DO tended to decrease with depth and the diurnal variations of water temperature at the same depth were small (Fig. 3). Chlorophyll concentrations of each study site were different from each other. For example, Wujiangdu Reservoir showed chlorophyll at value of $3.00\pm3.47 \mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ and Liuguang at value of 0.74 ± 0.42 μg·L⁻¹. In the water column of these reservoirs, chlorophyll was mainly distributed in the up water and reduced with the depth, and the diurnal variations of chlorophyll concentration were obvious at the same depth (Fig. 3). In the surface water of Hongjiadu Reservoir and Dongfeng Reservoir the concentrations of chlorophyll were lower during the daytime than during the night, and that of Wujiangdu Reservoir was higher during the daytime than during the night (Fig. 2).

3.2 Variations of HCO3 - , DOC, and *p***CO2**

Values of pH in the study sites were generally larger than 7.5, indicating a predominance of bicarbonate in dissolved inorganic carbon. The profile variations of $HCO₃$ in Hongjiadu Reservoir and Dongfeng Reservoir were obvious; however, this phenomenon was not found in Wujiangdu Reservoir (Fig. 4). As for surface water, concentration of $HCO₃$ didn't show obvious diurnal fluctuations in all the study sites (Fig. 2). The concentration of DOC in these reservoirs was higher in the surface and bottom

water than that in the middle water and the diurnal variations were also large (Figs. 2 and 4). The DOC concentrations of the three reservoirs were higher than that of Liuguang (Table 2).

Compared to surface water of the three reservoirs, $pCO₂$ of surface water in Liuguang showed much higher value (Table 2), suggesting reservoir created by the dam on river could reduce the release of $CO₂$ from river. Wujiangdu Reservoir and Liuguang behaved as carbon source, while Hongjiadu Reservoir and Dongfeng Reservoir behaved as carbon sink (Fig. 2). Among the three reservoirs, surface water in Wujiangdu Reservoir exhibited more obvious diurnal $pCO₂$ variation than that in other two reservoirs (Fig. 2). In water column, $pCO₂$ tended to increase with depth in all the reservoirs and it showed different diurnal variations due to different reservoirs (Fig. 4).

4 Discussion

4.1 Biogeochemical processes affecting the $pCO₂$ **variations**

Photosynthesis and respiration are two major biogeochemical processes influencing $pCO₂$ variation. Photosynthesis is a process that converting $CO₂$ into organic compounds by using the energy from sunlight and respiration is a reverse process that translating organism into $CO₂$ and releasing energy. Thus, both photosynthesis and respiration are very important processes affecting carbon biogeochemical cycle. The influences of photosynthesis and respiration on $pCO₂$ variation depend on the extent of phytoplankton involved. The chlorophyll concentrations in Hongjiadu Reservoir, Dongfeng Reservoir, Wujiangdu Reservoir, and Liuguang were 1.39 ± 1.43 μ g·L⁻¹, 0.77 \pm 0.78 μ g·L⁻¹, 3.00 \pm 3.47 μ g·L⁻¹, and 0.74 \pm 0.42 μ g·L⁻¹, respectively. In Wujiangdu Reservoir with high chlorophyll concentration, the average value of $pCO₂$ in surface water was 502 μatm during the daytime (from 10:00 am to 20:00 pm) with strong photosynthesis, and 862 μatm during the whole night (from 22:00 pm to 8:00) while only respiration occurred. However, this phenomenon was not found in Dongfeng Reservoir with low chlorophyll concentration, which suggested that higher primary productivity may affect the absorption and release of $CO₂$ in an aquatic system, but the exact reasons still need to be found. In water profile, $pCO₂$ values increased with water depth as the strength of photosynthesis declined and that of respiration increased.

	°C	pH	Chlorophyll $(\mu g \cdot L^{-1})$	D _O $(mg \cdot L^{-1})$	HCO ₃ $(mg·L^{-1})$	DOC $(mg \cdot L^{-1})$	$pCO2$ ^b $($ uatm $)$	pCO ₂ ^c (mg^L^{-1})				
HJD	23.31 ± 3.22^a	8.09 ± 0.48	1.39 ± 1.43		101.94 ± 11.15	6.10 ± 3.02	1543 ± 1687	357 ± 11				
DF	22.43 ± 4.25	8.15 ± 0.43	0.77 ± 0.78	7.86 ± 1.72	110.97 ± 20.02	5.24 ± 3.85	1390 ± 1700	$338+48$				
WJD	24.26 ± 3.34	7.94 ± 0.41	3.00 ± 3.47	6.00 ± 1.86	134.00 ± 5.69	5.00 ± 1.72	2125 ± 1444	682 ± 303				
LG	21.12 ± 0.21	7.85 ± 0.09	0.74 ± 0.42	9.38 ± 0.46	123.15 ± 1.21	2.74 ± 0.79	1677±429	1677 ± 429				

Table 2 The investigated factors in each study site

Note: ^a Average value \pm standard deviation; ^b pCO_2 for all the water sample in each site; \degree pCO_2 for surface water sample in each site; — stands for not detected.

Fig. 2. The fluctuations of pH, *T*, pCO_2 , chlorophyll, DOC, and HCO₃ in the surface water body in each sampling site.

Fig. 3. Profile of temperature, pH, chlorophyll, and DO in the investigated reservoirs.

4.2 The relation between $pCO₂$ **and environmental factors**

Factors influencing $pCO₂$ are complex. Dissolved $CO₂$ could be originated from HCO₃, decomposition of organic matter, and atmospheric CO_2 . pCO_2 showed significant positive correlation with HCO_3 ⁻ (Table 3), suggesting that HCO₃ was an important source of dissolved CO_2 here. pH is one factor controlling pCO_2 variation. According to formula (1), improving pH can lead to the decrease of CO_2 concentration and pCO_2 thus exhibited a significant negative correlation to pH (Table 3). Temperature is another factor influencing $pCO₂$. In these reservoirs, enhancing temperature may stimulate phytoplankton growth (e.g. Wang Baoli et al. 2009). Phytoplankton consumes $CO₂$ and releases $O₂$ in the process of photosynthesis and, as a result, we could find that $pCO₂$ in these reservoirs showed the

significant negative correlation with temperature, chlorophyll, and DO, respectively. However, these phenomena were not found in Liuguang. According to Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis in Liuguang, the influence of phytoplankton on $pCO₂$ could be ignored and pH dominantly controlled $pCO₂$ variation in this karst river.

Besides the factors mentioned above, water velocity and wind speed can also affect $pCO₂$ diurnal variation. After impoundment the water velocity slows down and the transparence in water increases due to the decrease of particles, which providing a better condition for algae growth and promoting $CO₂$ to be absorbed. The wind speed will influence the flux of $CO₂$ in the water-air interface (Duchemin et al., 1995; Wanninkhof, 1992). In this work, the investigated sites have similar geography and the influence of wind speed may be same at each study site. However, it was

not determined in this study.

4.3 Trophic level versus $pCO₂$

Damming river alters its hydrological condition, material cycle and then transforms aquatic ecosystem from riverine type to limnological type (Wetzel, 2001). Reservoirs created by dams show different trophic states due to different running time and geographical locations along the impounded river. In this work, Wujiangdu Reservoir was hypereutrophic, and Hongjiadu Reservoir and Dongfeng Reservoir were meso-eutrophic (Wang Baoli et al., 2008). At surface

water, $pCO₂$ of Wujiangdu Reservoir was higher than atmospheric $CO₂$ partial pressure (380 μ atm) and thus released $CO₂$, probably because of its high phytoplankton biomass with strong biological activity such as respiration. Compared with Wujiangdu Reservoir, Hongjiadu Reservoir and Dongfeng Reservoir possessed lower phytoplankton biomass with strong photosynthesis and absorbed $CO₂$ from atmosphere due to their $pCO₂$ in the surface water lower than that in the atmosphere. Without damming over Liuguang, it released $CO₂$ into the atmosphere. It is thus clear that difference in trophic level may have a significant effect on $pCO₂$ variation in these cascade reservoirs.

Fig. 4. Profile of $HCO₃$, DOC, and $pCO₂$ in the investigated reservoirs.

Table 3 Pearson's correlation coefficient between $pCO₂$ and environmental factors

			pH	Chlorophyll	HCO ₃	DOC	DO.
HJD	pCO ₂	-0.948^{**a} , 24 ^b	-0.985 ^{**} , 24	-0.621 ^{**} , 24	$-0.188, 24$	0.091.21	
DF	pCO ₂	-0.938 **, 28	-0.908 ^{**} , 28	-0.586^{**} , 28	0.953 ^{**} , 28	$-0.060, 27$	-0.802 ^{**} , 28
LG	pCO ₂	0.714 ^{**} , 12	-0.990 ^{**} , 12	$-0.406, 12$	0.785 ^{**} , 12	$-0.210, 12$	0.527.12
WJD	pCO ₂	-0.848 ^{**} , 28	-0.955 ^{**} , 28	-0.846 ^{**} , 28	0.768^{**} , 28	-0.453 , 8	-0.678 ^{**} , 28
0 _m	pCO ₂	-0.910^{**} , 36	-0.978 ^{**} , 36	-0.419^{\degree} , 36	0.936^{**} , 36	-0.426 , 34	0.561 , 24
5 m	pCO ₂	0.296, 12	-0.973 ^{**} , 12	$-0.263, 12$	0.963 ^{**} , 12	0.023, 12	-0.944 ^{**} , 8
15 m	pCO ₂	-0.889 ^{**} , 12	-0.989 ^{**} , 12	$-0.224, 12$	0.080, 12	0.530, 11	-0.983 ^{**} , 8
30 m	pCO ₂	-0.728 ^{**} , 12	-0.993 ^{**} , 12		$-0.115, 12$	0.030, 11	$-0.620, 8$
60 _m	pCO ₂	$-0.293, 8$	-0.996 ^{**} , 8		0.395, 8	0.143, 8	-0.822^* , 8

^a Correlation coefficient; ^b the number of samples; ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); — stands for not detected.

5 Conclusions

The cascade reservoirs showed different diurnal variations of $pCO₂$ from each other due to different trophic levels and had much lower $pCO₂$ values in surface water than river did. In water column and $pCO₂$ tended to increase with the depth. Phytoplankton and environmental factors such as temperature and pH have different influences on $pCO₂$ diurnal variations, and the effect of phytoplankton on $pCO₂$ variation increases with the increase of trophic level in these reservoirs. Our study may have an important significance for choosing the applicable sampling time on $pCO₂$ research in the impounded river in a large scale.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Master Han Zhiwei and Li Ganrong for assistance in sample collection in the field. This study was financially supported by the Foundation of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. kzcx2-yw-137) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 40721002 and 90610037).

References

- An Zhisheng and Fu Congbin (2001) The progress in global change science [J]. *Advance in Earth Sciences*. **16**, 671–680 (in Chinese).
- Cook E.R., Richard S., and Cane M.A. (2007) North American drought: Reconstructions, causes, and the consequences [J]. *Earth Science Reviews*. **81**, 93–114.
- Demarty M., Bastien J., Tremblay A., Hesslein R.H., and Gill R. (2009) Greenhouse gas emissions from boreal reservoirs in Manitoba and Québec, Canada, measured with automated systems [J]. *Environmental Science and Technology*. **43**, 8909–8915.
- Duchemin E., Lucotte M., Canuel R., and Chamberland A. (1995) Production of the greenhouse gases CH_4 and CO_2 by hydroelectric reservoirs of the boreal region [J]. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*. **9**, 529–540.
- Fearnside P.M. (2002) Greenhouse gas emissions from a hydroelectric reservoir (Brazil's Tucurui Dam) and the energy policy implications [J]. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*. **133**, 69–96.
- Fearnside P.M. (2005) Do hydroelectric dams mitigate global warming? The case of Brazil's Curuá-UNA Dam [J]. *Mitigation and Adaptation*

Strategies for Global Change. **10**, 675–691.

- IPCC (2007) Climate change 2007: synthesis report. Contribution of working groups I, II and III to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change (eds. Pachauri and Reisinger, Core Writing Team) [C]. pp.104. *IPCC*. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Korhonen R., Savolainen I., and Sinisalo J. (1993) Assessing the impact of CO2 emission control scenarios in Finland on radiative foring and greenhouse effect [J]. *Environmental Management*. **17**, 797–805.
- Milliman J.D. (1997) Blessed dams or damned dams? [J]. *Nature.* **386**, 325–327.
- Nusbaumer J. and Matsumoto K. (2008) Climate and carbon cycle changes under the overshoot scenario [J]. *Global and Planetary Change*. **62**, 164–172.
- Rosa L.P., Santos M.A., Matvienko B., Santos E.O., and Sikar E. (2004) Greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs in tropical regions [J]. *Climatic Change*. **66**, 9–12.
- Stephen H.S. (1988) The greenhouse effect and the U.S. summer of 1988: Cause and effect or a media event? [J]. *Climatic Change*. **13**, 113–115.
- St. Louis V.L., Kelly C.A., Duchemin É., Rudd J.W.M., and Rosenberg D.M. (2000) Reservoir surface as sources of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere: A global estimate [J]. *Bioscience*. **50**, 766–775.
- Yao Guanrong, Gao Quanzhou, Wang Zhengang, Huang Xiakun, He Tong, Zhang Yonglin, Jiao Shulin, and Ding Jian (2007) Dynamics of CO₂ partial pressure and $CO₂$ outgassing in the lower reaches of the Xijiang River, a subtropical monsoon river in China [J]. *Science of the Total Environment*. **376**, 255–266.
- Yu Yuanxiu, Liu Congqiang, Wang Fushun, Wang Baoli, Wang Shilu, and Liu Fang (2008) Spatiotemporal characteristics and diffusion flux of partial pressure of dissolved carbon ($pCO₂$) in Hongjiadu Reservoir [J]. *Chinese Journal of Ecology*. **27**, 1193–1199 (in Chinese).
- Wang Baoli, Liu Congqiang, Wang Fushun, Yu Yuanxiu, and Zhang Lihua (2008) The distributions of autumn picoplankton in relation to environmental factors in the reservoirs along the Wujiang River in Guizhou Province, SW China [J]. *Hydrobiologia*. **598**, 35–45.
- Wang Baoli, Liu Congqiang, Wang Fushun, Yu Yuanxiu, and Wu Yanyou (2009) Flow cytometric observation of picophytoplankton community structure in the cascade reservoirs along the Wujiang River, SW China [J]. *Journal of Limnology*. **68**, 53–63.
- Wanninkhof R. (1992) Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean [J]. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. **97**(C5), 7373–7382.
- Wetzel R.G. (2001) *Limnology: Lake and river ecosystems* (3rd ed.) [M]. Springer-Verlag Press, New York.