Article

Geochemistry

### The impact of damming on geochemical behavior of dissolved inorganic carbon in a karst river

Xi Peng · Cong-Qiang Liu · Baoli Wang · Yan-Chuang Zhao

Received: 30 June 2013/Accepted: 8 August 2013/Published online: 4 March 2014 © Science China Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Abstract To determine the impact of damming on the geochemical behavior of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in the Wujiang River basin, field measurements and samples were collected semimonthly for a year. The surface waters of the reservoirs contained concentrations of chlorophyll a up to 5.6 times higher than the upstream river. Compared with the entering waters, the contributions of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> to DIC decreased, and the contribution of  $CO_3^{2-}$  to DIC and the carbon isotopic composition of DIC ( $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ ) increased in the surface waters of the reservoirs, while in the waters released, the DIC species showed reverse geochemical behaviors. The  $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$  ranged from -10.2 % to 2.5 %, indicating that significant contributions were from carbonate weathering, photosynthesis, and respiration. After the damming of a river, the bioactivity of phytoplankton was enhanced, and this affected the geochemistry of DIC compared to an unimpacted river and  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  can be used to discern these changes. High-frequency monitoring of river-reservoir systems is necessary to evaluate the efflux of CO<sub>2</sub> and provide a better understanding of the carbon sinks and sources in the impounded river.

**Keywords** Dam · Dissolved inorganic carbon · Carbon isotope compositions · Phytoplankton · Wujiang River

X. Peng · Y.-C. Zhao College of Earth Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

#### **1** Introduction

The Earth's carbon cycle restricts the development of various resource and environment problems [1]. Rivers are the main conduit for the exchange of material and energy between the land and the ocean and play an important role in the global carbon cycle. Rivers carry the products of weathering from the land to the ocean in the form of dissolved substances and particulate matter, and the concentrations and fluxes of minerals are the function of environmental factors such as the regional climate, exposed rock, soils, agricultural activities, and anthropogenic emissions [2]. In recent years, the natural properties of rivers have been increasingly affected by human activities [3, 4]. Worldwide, 42000 dams had been constructed through 1996 [5]. A "reservoir effect", induced by damming, changes elemental cycles in rivers. This impact has become a popular issue for scientific study.

Carbon forms the basis for living systems on Earth. The impact of damming on the carbon cycle is observed as a variation in the concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and its isotopic composition ( $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ ), by which the impact of a reservoir can be traced [6, 7]. The DIC concentration includes CO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> (free CO<sub>2</sub>, which equals dissolved  $CO_2$  plus H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>), HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>. As the impounded river develops limnological characteristics, phytoplankton in the reservoirs exhibits daily, monthly, seasonal, and yearly variations [8]. Therefore, in order to accurately assess the impact of damming on the riverine carbon cycle, the changes must be monitored on a frequent basis; however, studies typically involve seasonal and annual sampling [2, 6, 9, 10]. In our study, four variously aged cascade reservoirs and the corresponding rivers in the Wujiang River basin were sampled twice per month between May,

X. Peng · C.-Q. Liu · B. Wang (⊠) · Y.-C. Zhao
 State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry,
 Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
 Guiyang 550002, China
 e-mail: baoliwang@163.com

2011 and May, 2012. The main purpose of this study was to gain a better understanding of the impact of damming on the biogeochemical behavior of riverine DIC.

#### 2 Study area

The Wujiang River is a tributary on the right bank of the Changjiang River, with a total length of 1,037 km and a drainage area of 88,267 km<sup>2</sup>. The Wujiang River has a fall of 2.124 m and is one of the main rivers in a west-to-east power transmission project. A total of 11 cascade reservoirs have been built within the Wujiang River basin. The study area is characteristic of the subtropical monsoon humid climate, and its average annual temperature is 12.3 °C, with extreme temperatures of 35.4 °C in the summer and -10.1 °C in the winter. The average temperatures in January (the coldest month) and July (the hottest month) are 3.5 and 26 °C, respectively. The annual precipitation ranges from 1,100 to 1,300 mm, and precipitation from May to October accounts for about 75 % of the total annual precipitation. The predominant lithological character of the Wujiang River basin is Pre-Jurassic strata, and carbonate rock is widespread in this area. Permian system, Triassic carbonate rock, coal-bearing petrofabric, and basalt predominate in the upper reaches of the Wujiang River. Permian system, Triassic limestone, dolomitic limestone, and dolostone are widespread in the middle reaches of the Wujiang River. Carbonatite petrofabric including mudstone, shale, and siltite comprise a vast expanse in the lower reaches of the Wujiang River, and Sinian basic ultra-base pyroclastic rock and magmatite emerge in some regions.

The Hongjiadu Reservoir, Dongfengdu Reservoir, and Wujiangdu Reservoir are located on the middle and upper reaches of the Wujiangdu River (Fig. 1) and were constructed in 2001, 1989, and 1971, respectively. The Hongfeng Lake is located on the Maotiao River, a chief tributary of the Wujiang River, and was constructed in 1959. The annual runoff for the site at Liuchong River accounts for 87.2 % of the total water of Hongjiadu Reservoir and represents the unimpacted river. The annual runoff at the sampling site in Liuguang River accounts for 88.8 % of the total water of Wujiangdu Reservoir and can represent the impacted river affected by damming.

#### **3** Sampling and analysis

The Liuchong River (LCH), Hongjiadu Reservoir (HJD), the water released from the Hongjiadu Reservoir (HJD-R), Dongfengdu Reservoir (DFD), the water released from Dongfengdu Reservoir (DFD-R), the Liuguang River (LGH), the Wujiangdu Reservoir (WJD), the water released from the Wujiangdu Reservoir (WJD-R), and Hongfeng Lake (HFH) were sampled semimonthly in the Wujiang River basin (Fig. 1) between May, 2011 and May, 2012. Samples were collected from the surface (upper 0.5 m).



Fig. 1 A map of the region showing the sampling sites



The pH, water temperature (T), and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured in situ with an automated multiparameter profiler (model YSI 6600), and alkalinity (ALK) was determined by titration with HCl in the field. Concentrations of chlorophyll a (Chl-a) were measured with a Phyto-PAM (WALZ, Germany). Water samples were filtered through glass fiber filters (0.70 µm, Whatman GF/F) within 24 h. The filtered water was divided for the analyses of anions, cations, and  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ . Samples for cation analysis were acidified to pH <2 with ultra-purified HNO<sub>3</sub>. Major cations ( $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $K^+$ , and  $Na^+$ ) were determined using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES), with a minimum detection limit of 0.01 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The anions  $(SO_4^{2-}, Cl^-, and NO_3^-)$  were determined using high performance liquid chromatography ICS-90 (Dionex, USA), with a minimum detection limit of 0.01 mg  $L^{-1}$ . Saturated HgCl<sub>2</sub> was added into the samples for preservation for the  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  measurement; the vessels were then immediately capped without a headspace, sealed with Parafilm, and placed in cold storage. Samples for  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  measurements were injected into evacuated glass bottles containing pure phosphoric acid and a small magnetic stirrer bar and then heated at 50 °C for the CO<sub>2</sub> extraction. The extracted CO<sub>2</sub> was frozen in the evacuated vial using liquid nitrogen, and the resulting gas was analyzed on a MAT252 mass spectrometer [11]. The  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ measurements were normalized to a Pee Dee Belemnite standard (PDB) (Formula 1) with an analytical precision of ±0.1 ‰.

$$\delta^{13} C_{\text{DIC}} \left( \%_{\text{oo}} \right) = \left[ \left( R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{PDB}} \right) / R_{\text{PDB}} \right] \times 1000.$$
(1)

#### 4 Calculation of inorganic carbon speciation

The first and second dissociation constants ( $pK_1$  and  $pK_2$ ) of carbonic acid and the dissociation constant for water and CO<sub>2</sub> ( $pK_w$ ,  $p K_{CO_2}$ ) were calculated from the water temperature (K) [8, 12]:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{CO}_2 + & \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{CO}_3^* \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}^+ + & \mathrm{HCO}_3^- \\ & \Leftrightarrow 2\mathrm{H}^+ + & \mathrm{CO}_3^{2-}, \end{array} \tag{2}$$

$$p\mathbf{K}_1 = (3404.71/T) + 0.03279T - 14.84,$$
(3)

$$p\mathbf{K}_2 = (2902.39/T) + 0.02379T - 6.50, \tag{4}$$

$$p\mathbf{K}_{\rm w} = (4470.99/T) + 0.01706T - 6.09, \tag{5}$$

$$p\mathbf{K}_{\rm CO_2} = -7 \times 10^{-5}T^2 + 0.016T + 1.11.$$
 (6)

The temperature-dependent dissociation constants were corrected for ionic strength with the Güntelberg approximation [13]:

$$p\mathbf{K}' = p\mathbf{K} + \frac{0.5(Z_{\rm HB}^2 - Z_{\rm B}^2)\sqrt{\mathbf{I}}}{1 + \sqrt{\mathbf{I}}}.$$
(7)

 $Z_{\rm HB}$  is the acid charge,  $Z_{\rm B}$  is the base charge, and  $p{\rm K}'$  is the dissociation constant corrected for temperature and ionic strength (*I*). 0.5 is the Debye-Hückel constant and did not vary with temperature. Equilibrium concentrations (mmol L<sup>-1</sup>) of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-7</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-7</sup>, CO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, and the partial pressure of carbon dioxide ( $p{\rm CO}_2$ ) were calculated using ALK, pH, and the appropriate temperature-dependent values of  $p{\rm K}'$ :

$$[\text{HCO}_{3}^{-}] = 1/(1+2(10^{(\text{pH}-p\text{K}_{2}^{\prime})})(\text{ALK} - [\text{OH}^{-}]), \quad (8)$$
$$[\text{CO}_{3}^{2-}] = (10^{(\text{pH}-p\text{K}_{2}^{\prime})})/(1+2(10^{(\text{pH}-p\text{K}_{2}^{\prime})}))$$

$$(ALK - [OH^{-}]),$$
(9)  
$$[CO_{2}^{*}] = \left(10^{\left(pK_{1}^{'}-pH\right)}\right) / \left(1 + 2\left(10^{\left(pH-pK_{2}^{'}\right)}\right)\right)$$
(ALK - [OH^{-}]), (10)

$$pCO_{2} = \left[H_{2}CO_{3}^{*}\right]/K_{CO_{2}} = \left[H^{+}\right]\left[HCO_{3}^{-}\right]/(K_{CO_{2}} \times K_{1}).$$
(11)

#### 5 Results

## 5.1 Basic physical, chemical, and biological parameters

Temperature (T) ranged from 8.1 to 24.4  $^{\circ}$ C in the rivers with an average of 17.0 °C, from 6.6 to 29.7 °C in the reservoirs with an average of 18.7 °C, and from 9.2 to 24.6 °C in the waters released with an average of 15.8 °C (Fig. 2a). The pH ranged from 7.8 to 8.6 in the rivers with an average of 8.1, with little seasonal variation; the pH ranged from 7.5 to 8.9 in the reservoirs with an average of 8.2, and the pH of the warm season was greater than that of the cold season; the pH ranged from 7.4 to 8.1 in the waters released with an average of 7.8, and the pH of the cold season was greater than that of the warm season (Fig. 2b). The concentration of DO ranged from 7.4 to 11.1 mg  $L^{-1}$  in the rivers with an average of 9.2 mg  $L^{-1}$ , from 3.9 to 12.5 mg  $L^{-1}$  in the reservoirs with an average of 8.3 mg  $L^{-1}$ , and from 4.5 to 11.8 mg  $L^{-1}$  in the waters released with an average of 7.8 mg  $L^{-1}$ . The concentration of DO in the rivers and the waters released was greater in the cold season than in the warm season while that in the reservoirs was greater in the warm season than in the cold season (Fig. 2c).

The concentration of Chl-*a* ranged from 0.9 to 3.5  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> in the rivers with an average of 1.9  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, from 1.5 to 49.3  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> in the reservoirs with an average of 10.6  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, and from 1.1 to 4.9  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> in the waters released with an average of 2.1  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. Generally, the concentration of Chl-*a* was higher in the warm season than in the cold season (Figure 2d). The order of Chl-*a* concentrations within the reservoirs was: HFH > WJD > DFD > HJD (Table 1).

SCIENCE CHINA PRESS





- ◄ Fig. 2 Temporal and spatial variations of the investigated parameters. Warm season was from April to September and cold season from October to the following March in this study. Data are shown as average ± standard deviation. *LCH* Liuchong River, *HJD* Hongjiadu Reservoir, *HJD-R* releases from Hongjiadu Reservoir, *DFD* Dongfengdu Reservoir, *DFD-R* releases from Dongfengdu Reservoir, *LGH* Liuguang River, *WJD* Wujiangdu Reservoir, *WJD-R* releases from Wujiangdu Reservoir, *HFH* Hongfeng Lake
  - 5.2 Temporal and spatial variation of DIC, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, pCO<sub>2</sub>, and  $\delta^{13}$ C<sub>DIC</sub>

The basic water chemistry was mainly controlled by carbonate weathering, with the main cations being  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  and the main anions  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $HCO_3^{-}$  [14]. The concentration of DIC ranged from 1.68 to 2.82 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> in the rivers with an average of 2.07 mmol  $L^{-1}$ , from 0.83 to 2.48 mmol  $L^{-1}$  in the reservoirs with an average of 1.84 mmol  $L^{-1}$ , and from 1.66 to 2.74 mmol  $L^{-1}$  in the waters released with an average of 2.04 mmol  $L^{-1}$ . The concentration of DIC in the rivers and the reservoirs was slightly greater in the cold season than in the warm season (Fig. 2e). The concentration of DIC in the reservoirs was the lowest among these three different water sources, and the concentration of DIC in HFH was the lowest among the four reservoirs (Table 1). DIC was dominated by  $HCO_3^{-}$ , which accounted for 89.7 % of the total DIC. The concentration of  $HCO_3^-$  in the rivers ranged from 1.39 to 2.64 mmol  $L^{-1}$  with an average of 1.84 mmol  $L^{-1}$ , and that was slightly higher in the cold season than in the warm season (Fig. 2f). The concentration of  $HCO_3^-$  ranged from 0.52 to 2.33 mmol  $L^{-1}$  in the reservoirs with an average of 1.58 mmol  $L^{-1}$ , and that was higher in the cold season than in the warm season. The concentration of  $HCO_3^{-1}$ ranged from 1.61 to 2.65 mmol  $L^{-1}$  in the waters released

Table 1 The annual means of the hydrogeochemical parameters

with an average of 1.92 mmol  $L^{-1}$ , and that showed little seasonal variation. HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> had similar temporal and spatial variations compared to DIC. CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> accounted for 5.2 % of the total DIC. The concentration of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> ranged from 0.05 to 0.27 mmol  $L^{-1}$  in the rivers with an average of 0.12 mmol  $L^{-1}$ , from 0.03 to 0.28 mmol  $L^{-1}$  in the reservoirs with an average of 0.13 mmol  $L^{-1}$ , and from 0.02 to 0.10 mmol  $L^{-1}$  in the waters released with an average of 0.06 mmol  $L^{-1}$ . The CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentration in the rivers and the waters released showed little seasonal variation (Fig. 2g). The concentration of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> showed similar values between the rivers and the reservoirs, but low values in the waters released (Fig. 2g).

The  $pCO_2$  ranged from 300 to 2,555 µatm in the rivers with an average of 1,102 µatm and did not show clear seasonal changes (Fig. 2h). The  $pCO_2$  ranged from 66 to 3,770 µatm in the reservoirs with an average of 1,523 µatm, and that was lower in the warm season than in the cold season. The  $pCO_2$  ranged from 840 to 5,312 µatm in the waters released with an average of 2,169 µatm, and that was higher in the warm season than in the cold season. Compared to the upstream waters and the reservoirs, the waters released showed high  $pCO_2$ . WJD had the highest  $pCO_2$ , while HFH had the lowest  $pCO_2$ .

The  $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$  ranged from -9.4 % to -6.4 % in the rivers with an average of -8.1 %, from -10.0 % to 2.5 ‰ in the reservoirs with an average of -6.1 %, and from -10.2 % to -7.1 % in the waters released with an average of -8.3 %. The  $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$  in the rivers and the waters released did not show clear seasonal changes (Fig. 2i). The  $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$  in the rivers and the waters released was more negative than that in the reservoirs, and the  $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$  of HJD was more positive than that of DFD and WJD (Table 1).

Sites <sup>a</sup>	<i>T</i> (°C)	рН	$DO \ (mg \ L^{-1})$	Chl-a $(\mu g L^{-1})$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CO}_2^* \\ \text{(mmol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{)} \end{array}$	$HCO_3^-$ (mmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	$CO_3^{2-}$ (mmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	$\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ (‰)
LCH	$17.2 \pm 4.9^{b}$	$8.3 \pm 0.1$	$9.2 \pm 1.1$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	$0.011 \pm 0.004$	$1.70 \pm 0.18$	$0.15\pm0.04$	$-8.0 \pm 0.8$
HJD	$18.5 \pm 5.6$	$8.2 \pm 0.2$	$7.9 \pm 0.8$	$2.4 \pm 0.6$	$0.016\pm0.012$	$1.64 \pm 0.31$	$0.12\pm0.05$	$-6.7 \pm 1.5$
HJD-R	$14.8\pm3.5$	$7.8\pm0.2$	$7.6 \pm 1.4$	$2.0 \pm 0.5$	$0.042 \pm 0.021$	$1.90\pm0.20$	$0.05\pm0.02$	$-8.1 \pm 0.6$
DFD	$18.4 \pm 5.4$	$8.2\pm0.2$	$9.2\pm0.8$	$4.7 \pm 2.5$	$0.014 \pm 0.010$	$1.66\pm0.30$	$0.13\pm0.05$	$-7.3 \pm 1.0$
DFD-R	$16.3 \pm 4.3$	$7.9\pm0.2$	$8.6 \pm 1.3$	$2.3\pm0.7$	$0.030\pm0.010$	$1.88\pm0.20$	$0.07\pm0.02$	$-8.1 \pm 0.4$
LGH	$16.8\pm4.1$	$7.9\pm0.1$	$9.1\pm0.7$	$2.2 \pm 1.3$	$0.026\pm0.008$	$1.97\pm0.31$	$0.08\pm0.02$	$-8.2\pm0.6$
WJD	$19.7\pm 6.0$	$8.0 \pm 0.3$	$7.4 \pm 2.6$	$11.3 \pm 10.1$	$0.028\pm0.018$	$1.83\pm0.25$	$0.11\pm0.07$	$-7.4 \pm 1.3$
WJD-R	$16.4 \pm 4.8$	$7.7\pm0.2$	$7.3 \pm 2.1$	$2.0\pm0.9$	$0.048 \pm 0.020$	$1.99\pm0.25$	$0.05\pm0.02$	$-8.9\pm0.4$
HFH	$18.1 \pm 6.3$	$8.4 \pm 0.4$	$8.8 \pm 2.2$	$23.9 \pm 9.0$	$0.011 \pm 0.011$	$1.18\pm0.46$	$0.15\pm0.09$	$-3.1 \pm 2.6$

<sup>a</sup> LCH Liuchong River, HJD Hongjiadu Reservoir, HJD-R releases from Hongjiadu Reservoir, DFD Dongfengdu Reservoir, DFD-R releases from Dongfengdu Reservoir, LGH Liuguang River, WJD Wujiangdu Reservoir, WJD-R releases from Wujiangdu Reservoir, HFH Hongfeng Lake

 $^{\rm b}$  Average  $\pm$  standard deviation



#### 6 Discussion

# 6.1 The impact of damming on river hydrogeochemistry

After a river is intercepted by a dam, water velocity decreases and T and nutrient loads increase, and, therefore, the impounded waters are more suitable for phytoplankton growth [15]. Deep reservoirs are often found within a karst geology, and subsequently, physical and chemical stratifications occur. Reservoirs release waters from the bottom, and thus, the waters released reflect the geochemistry of the bottom waters. The average T in the reservoir surface waters was 25.6 °C and that of the waters released was 20.8 °C from July to September, suggesting that there was thermal stratification in these reservoirs during the warm seasons. Thermal stratification interrupted the riverine continuum, and the order of water *T* was: reservoir > river > waters released.

Phytoplankton synthesizes organic matter by photosynthesis, assimilating  $CO_2$  and releasing  $O_2$ , associated with an increase of pH [16]:

For example, from May to August in WJD, the concentrations of Chl-*a* increased from 5.3 to 40.6  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> and, as a response, pH increased from 7.8 to 8.5. Due to thermal stratification in the warm seasons, CO<sub>2</sub> from the decomposition of organic matter in the bottom waters could not diffuse upward, which caused a decrease in pH of the bottom waters [17]. Thermal stratification disappeared in the cold seasons, and CO<sub>2</sub> could then diffuse upward. As a result, *p*CO<sub>2</sub> in the waters released was higher in the warm seasons than in the cold seasons.

#### 6.2 The impact of damming on DIC geochemistry

The DIC in rivers mainly comes from: (1) the dissolution of carbonate; (2) soil CO<sub>2</sub>; (3) respiration of riverine organic matters; (4) atmospheric precipitation. Source (4) contributes a minor amount to the riverine DIC [18] and was neglected in this study. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> from the respiration of C3 plants has a  $\delta^{13}$ C of -23 %, while marine carbonate has a  $\delta^{13}$ C of 0 % [19]. The  $\delta^{13}$ C of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is about -7 % and will be -17 % after being dissolved in water due to carbon isotopic fractionation. Therefore, the  $\delta^{13}$ C of DIC derived from carbonate dissolution is about -8.5 %. The exchange between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and the DIC in surface waters can be ignored, because the riverine  $pCO_2$  is greater than atmospheric  $pCO_2$ . In addition, the precipitation of calcite causes  $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$  to be more positive by 0 % -3 % [20].

The  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  in LCH showed a small amplitude and averaged -8.0 %, indicating that the  $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$  of a pristine karst river was mainly controlled by carbonate weathering. After impoundment, phytoplankton thrived and, as a result,  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  was then controlled by photosynthesis and respiration (Fig. 3). Photosynthesis was dominant in reservoir surface waters. In the process of photosynthesis, CO<sub>2</sub> is absorbed and <sup>12</sup>C is preferentially taken up; thus, the residual DIC is enriched with <sup>13</sup>C and the  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  is more positive. Therefore,  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  was significantly positively correlated to the Chl-*a* concentration (R = 0.727; P < 0.01). Respiration was dominant in the bottom waters. In the process of respiration, CO<sub>2</sub> with the same  $\delta^{13}$ C value as the organic matter is released, causing a decrease in the  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  in the waters released (Fig. 3). Therefore,  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  was affected by the trophic status of these reservoirs. HJD is mesotrophic, DFD is eutrophic, and WJD and HFH are hypereutrophic [21]. As a response, the  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  of HFH was the most positive and that of HJD was the most negative.

The bioactivity of phytoplankton influences the percentages of the different species of DIC in the impounded river. During the process of phytoplankton photosynthesis, the concentrations of  $CO_2^*$  and  $HCO_3^-$  decreased, and the pH and  $CO_3^{2-}$  concentration increased. Therefore, the Chl-a concentration was significantly negatively correlated to  $pCO_2(R = -0.356; P < 0.01)$  and positively correlated to  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  (R = 0.414; P < 0.01). Respiration in the bottom waters had a reverse influence on the DIC species; this resulted in the dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> increasing in the waters released, and the percentage of CO2 increased from 1.0 % in reservoir surface waters to 2.0 % in the waters released, while the amount of  $CO_3^{2-}$  decreased in the waters released and the percentage of  $CO_3^{2-}$  decreased from 7.5 % in reservoir surface waters to 2.9 % in the waters released. The amount of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was lowest in reservoir surface waters, and the percentage of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> increased from 91.5 % in surface waters to 93.3 % in the waters released.

#### 6.3 Frequency of monitoring

This study demonstrated that, compared to the unimpacted river, the impounded river showed a clearly different geochemistry. Sampling at a greater frequency helped provide a better understanding of these changes. We used  $pCO_2$  as an example in this study. It can be seen from Fig. 4 that  $pCO_2$  fluctuated widely within short periods at all sites. This meant that, if the CO<sub>2</sub> average fluxes were calculated by a seasonal or monthly sampling frequency, the error within these calculated values, compared to the actual values, would be much greater. For example, the  $pCO_2$  in the surface waters of LCH on July 4 was 916  $\mu$ atm and that of August 4 was 932  $\mu$ atm; the difference between



Fig. 3 Plots of Chl-a versus HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, pCO<sub>2</sub>, and  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ . Calculations of R and P are based on all the data



**Fig. 4** Temporal variations of  $pCO_2$ . *Lines 1–9* stand for average values of  $pCO_2$  in LCH, HJD, HJD-R, DFD, DFD-R, LGH, WJD, WJD-R, and HFH, respectively. *LCH* Liuchong River, *HJD* Hongjiadu Reservoir, *HJD-R* releases from Hongjiadu Reservoir, *DFD* Dongfengdu Reservoir, *DFD-R* releases from Dongfengdu Reservoir, *LGH* Liuguang River, *WJD* Wujiangdu Reservoir, *WJD-R* releases from Wujiangdu Reservoir, *HFH* Hongfeng Lake

SCIENCE CHINA PRESS

these two results was small; however, the  $pCO_2$  on July 19 was 622 µatm, 32.1 % less than that of July 4. Therefore, the calculation of CO<sub>2</sub> flux would bring in greater error without the sample taken on July 19th. This situation was found at the other sampling sites (Fig. 4). Thus, it is necessary to increase the frequency of sampling to capture this variation.

#### 7 Conclusions

Damming changes the geochemical behaviors of DIC in an unimpacted river, and the  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  can be used to discern the changes in biogeochemical behaviors of DIC. The  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  in the unimpacted Wujiang River was primarily controlled by chemical weathering of carbonate rocks, and after impoundment, the  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$  was mainly controlled by photosynthesis and respiration. Furthermore, impoundment changed the ratios of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> to the total DIC, respectively. High-frequency monitoring was necessary to reveal the biogeochemical cycle of DIC, evaluate the efflux of CO<sub>2</sub> more accurately, and provide a better understanding of the carbon sinks and sources in the impounded river.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to Zhou Yang, Lifeng Cui, Bailing Fan, and Hongming Cai with Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences for collecting samples and to Ning An and Longnian Geng with Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences for their guidance and help in the measurement of  $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ . This study was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2013CB956703) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41021062).

#### References

- 1. Yuan D (2001) Carbon cycle in Earth system and its effects on environment and resources. Quat Sci 21:223–232 (in Chinese)
- 2. Liu CQ (2007) Biogeochemical processes and matter cycle of the earth's surface—the basin weathering of south-west karst Area and nutrients elements cycle. Science Press, Beijing (in Chinese)
- Meybeck M (1982) Carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus transport by world rivers. Am J Sci 282:401–450
- 4. Ittekkot V (1988) Global trends in the nature of organic matter in the river suspensions. Nature 332:436–438

- Rosenberg DM, Mccully P, Pringle CM (2000) Global-scale environmental effects of hydrological alterations introduction. Bioscience 50:746–751
- Yu YX, Liu CQ, Wang F et al (2008) Dissolved inorganic carbon and its isotopic differentiation in cascade reservoirs in the Wujiang drainage basin. Chin Sci Bull 53:1935–1941
- 7. Myrbo A, Shapley MD (2006) Seasonal water-column dynamics of dissolved inorganic carbon stable isotopic compositions  $(\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}})$  in small hardwater lakes in Minnesota and Montana. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 70:2699–2714
- Maberly SC (1996) Diel, episodic and seasonal changes in pH and concentrations of inorganic carbon in a productive lake. Freshw Biol 35:579–598
- Wang M, Zhang LJ, Gui ZS (2011) Spatial and temporal transport of organic carbon in Changjiang mainstream and influence of Three Gorges Project. Period Ocean Univ China 41:117–124 (in Chinese)
- 10. Wang S, Yeager KM, Wan G et al (2012) Carbon export and  $HCO_3^-$  fate in carbonate catchments: a case study in the karst plateau of southwestern China. Appl Geochem 27:64–72
- 11. Atekawana EA, Krishnamurthy RV (1998) Seasonal variations of dissolved inorganic carbon and  $\delta^{13}$ C of surface water: application of a modified gas evolution technique. J Hydrol 205:265–278
- Mackereth FJH, Heron J, Talling JF (1989) Water analysis: some revised methods for limnologists. Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside
- Stumm W, Morgan JJ (1981) Aquatic chemistry. Wiley, New York
- Han GL, Liu CQ (2004) Water geochemistry controlled by carbonate dissolution: a study of the river waters draining karstdominated terrain, Guizhou Province, China. Chem Geol 204:1–21
- Wetzel RG (2001) Limnology: lake and river ecosystems. Academic Press, Salt Lake
- Aucour AM, Sheppard SM, Guyomar O et al (1999) Use of <sup>13</sup>C to trace origin and cycling of inorganic carbon in the Rhône river system. Chem Geol 159:87–105
- Zhang Y, Qian X, Qian Y et al (2008) Field measurement and analysis on diurnal stratification in Taihu Lake. Environ Sci Manag 33:117–121 (in Chinese)
- Telmer K, Veizer J (1999) Carbon fluxes, pCO<sub>2</sub> and substrate weathering in a large northern river basin, Canada: carbon isotope perspectives. Chem Geol 159:61–86
- Andrews JE, Greenaway AM, Dennis PF et al (2001) Isotopic effects on inorganic carbon in a tropical river caused by caustic discharges from bauxite processing. Appl Geochem 16:197–206
- Wachniew P (2006) Isotopic composition of dissolved inorganic carbon in a large polluted river: The Vistula, Poland. Chem Geol 233:293–308
- 21. Wang B, Liu CQ, Wang F et al (2008) The distributions of autumn picoplankton in relation to environmental factors in the reservoirs along the Wujiang River in Guizhou Province, SW China. Hydrobiologia 598:35–45

