

# Environment International



 $\sum_{\text{the}}$ 

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/envint](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/envint)

# Mercury contents in rice and potential health risks across China

Huifang Zhao<sup>[a,](#page-0-0)[b](#page-0-1)</sup>, H[a](#page-0-0)iyu Yan<sup>a</sup>, Leiming Zhang<sup>[c](#page-0-2)</sup>, Guangyi Sun<sup>a</sup>, Ping Li<sup>a,</sup>\*, Xinbin Feng<sup>a,</sup>\*

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang, 550081, China

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>b</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>c</sup> Air Quality Research Division, Science and Technology Branch, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, M3H5T4, Canada



# 1. Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is a toxic metal characterized by its persistence, bioaccumulation and neurotoxicity, which even at low exposure may cause irreversible damage to human and mammalian central nervous systems ([Clarkson and Magos, 2006](#page-5-0)). In many geographical regions globally, consumption of fish and other aquatic products is the major exposure of Hg to humans ([Zahir et al., 2005;](#page-6-0) [Mergler et al., 2007](#page-5-1)). However, consumption of other food products containing Hg may also induce health risks to humans especially in areas with high air and soil Hg concentrations. For example, high Hg concentrations (up to 1280 μg kg<sup>-1</sup>) were found in rice grown in Hg mining areas of southwest China [\(Qiu et al., 2008\)](#page-5-2), which may pose a great risk of Hg exposure to the populations using the locally produced rice as the major food supply in south China ([Zhang et al., 2010a](#page-6-1)).

China is currently the largest emitter of anthropogenic atmospheric Hg worldwide. Such emissions include a substantial fraction of oxidized and particle-bound Hg, which can deposit fast to nearby areas of the sources regions, causing elevated Hg loading in many ecosystems ([Streets et al., 2009, 2011;](#page-6-2) [Zhang et al., 2015](#page-6-3); [Zhang et al., 2016\)](#page-6-4). Since the elevated Hg deposition from anthropogenic sources tends to concentrate in labile pools [\(Fu et al., 2012;](#page-5-3) [Smith-Downey et al., 2010](#page-6-5)), the potential for chemical transformation (e.g., methylation) of deposited Hg has increased. In China, rice production accounts for 40% of total grain production and > 60% of Chinese residents feed on rice ([NBS,](#page-5-4) [2015\)](#page-5-4). Therefore, investigating Hg contents in rice has important implications for human health risk assessments in China as well as in the other parts of the world having similar situations.

Existing studies on rice contents of Hg were mostly focused on mining or contaminated areas ([Feng et al., 2008](#page-5-5); [Qiu et al., 2008;](#page-5-2) [Meng](#page-5-6) [et al., 2010;](#page-5-6) [Rothenberg et al., 2017](#page-5-7); [Zhao et al., 2016](#page-6-6); [Yin et al., 2013](#page-6-7)). [Horvat et al. \(2003\)](#page-5-8) reported that rice seeds collected from Wanshan Hg mining area contained high levels of total Hg (THg) and methylmercury (MeHg) (THg, 569 μg kg−<sup>1</sup> ; MeHg, 145 μg kg−<sup>1</sup> ). [Zhang et al. \(2010b\)](#page-6-8) specified that rice grain accumulates MeHg > 800 times higher than inorganic mercury (IHg). [Xu et al. \(2017\)](#page-6-9) concluded that Hg emissions from coal-fired power plants may affect Hg accumulation in rice grown in the vicinity of the plants. [Li et al. \(2017\)](#page-5-9) used MeHg compound specific stable isotope analysis to trace human dietary exposure to MeHg in the Wanshan Hg mining area in southwest China. To date, very limited studies have focused on THg and MeHg in rice in non-polluted areas ([Li et al., 2012](#page-5-10); [Shi et al., 2005](#page-6-10)). However, it should be noted that elemental Hg occupies the majority of the mass of atmospheric Hg, has a long life time of six months or longer, and can thus be transported on global scale, which has potential to affect areas far away from point sources.

E-mail addresses: [liping@mail.gyig.ac.cn](mailto:liping@mail.gyig.ac.cn) (P. Li), [fengxinbin@vip.skleg.cn](mailto:fengxinbin@vip.skleg.cn) (X. Feng).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.02.055>

Received 21 November 2018; Received in revised form 21 February 2019; Accepted 21 February 2019 Available online 28 February 2019

0160-4120/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0/).

<span id="page-0-3"></span><sup>⁎</sup> Corresponding authors.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Spatial distribution of sampling sites in this study.

XJ - Xinjiang, HLJ - Heilongjiang, JL - Jilin, ZJ - Zhejiang, JS - Jiangsu, JX - Jiangxi, AH - Anhui, HB - Hubei, HN - Hunan, FJ - Fujian, GX - Guangxi, GD - Guangdong, GZ - Guizhou, SC - Sichuan, and CQ - Chongqing.

The aim of the present study is to conduct a large-scale survey of Hg contents in rice across most major rice growing areas in China and to use these data to evaluate health risks of MeHg exposure via rice consumption for those populations taking rice as the main food supply.

#### 2. Materials and method

#### 2.1. Sample collection

A total of 560 rice samples were collected on-site from major rice growing areas in 15 provinces of China during the harvest time (September–October) of either 2014 or 2015 [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0). These provinces were geographically categorized into east: Zhejiang (ZJ), Jiangsu (JS), Anhui (AH), Jiangxi (JX) and Fujian (FJ); centre: Hubei (HB), and Hunan (HN); south: Guangxi (GX), and Guangdong (GD); southwest: Guizhou (GZ), Sichuan (SC), and Chongqing (CQ); northwest: Xinjiang (XJ); northeast: Heilongjiang (HLJ) and Jilin (JL) regions. The sampling areas covered in this study accounted for 89% of the total rice growing

<span id="page-1-1"></span>Table 1

Basic information of rice sampling sites in different provinces.

areas in China, and 88.6% of rice yield. Detailed information is shown in [Table 1.](#page-1-1)

There is one sample at each site and three strains of rice grain were randomly collected from the same field and were pooled together as one sample, which was washed immediately with tap water for several times before being double-bagged. All the rice grain samples were rigorously rinsed with deionized water when brought back to the laboratory and left to dry in a clean environment. Rice grain samples were divided into three fractions: hull, bran, and white rice. Subsequently, the white rice samples were crushed into 150 meshes and stored double-bagged in the dark for further Hg analysis.

#### 2.2. Analytical method

For THg analysis, 0.2–0.3 g of a milled sample was digested by HNO<sub>3</sub> in a water bath held (95 °C) for 3 h. An aliquot was analyzed for Hg by cold air atomic fluorescence spectrometry (CVAFS) on a Hg analyzer (Tekran Model 2500) following BrCl oxidation, SnCl<sub>2</sub>



Data were obtained from the website: <http://www.stats.gov.cn>.

reduction, purge-and-trapping, and eventually thermal desorption ([USEPA Method 1631 \(2002\)\)](#page-6-11).

For MeHg analysis, approximately 0.5 g of a rice sample was digested in a 25% KOH-CH<sub>3</sub>OH solution at 75 °C for 3 h. Upon completion, the digestions were acidified by addition of concentrated HCl. MeHg in the digestion was leached with dichloromethane  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  and back-extracted into the water phase. After derivatization by an ethylating agent (NaBEt<sub>4</sub>), volatile Hg species were separated from solution by purging with  $N_2$  onto a Tenax trap. The trapped methylethyl was then thermally desorbed, separated from other Hg species by an isothermal gas chromatography (GC) column, decomposed to  $He^{0}$  in a pyrolytic decomposition column (800 °C) and analyzed by CVAFS (Brooks Rand Model III, Brooks Rand Laboratories, Seattle, WA) ([USEPA Method 1630 \(2001a\)\)](#page-6-12).

## 2.3. Quality assurance and quality control

Quality control measures consisted of method blanks, triplicates, matrix spikes, and several certified reference materials. The limits of detection were 0.01 μg kg<sup>-1</sup> for THg and 0.002 μg kg<sup>-1</sup> for MeHg in rice samples, respectively. The certified reference materials of GBW10020 (Orange foliage) and TORT-2 (Lobster Hepatopancreas) were used in this study for THg and MeHg analysis and the average recoveries were 103.5% and 90.5%, respectively. The recoveries for matrix spikes averaged at 103% (with a range of 96–110%) for THg analysis, and 109% (with a range of 83–120%) for MeHg. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of duplicate analysis for Hg concentration data averaged at 6.1% with a range of 2.6%–8.7%.

#### 2.4. Calculation of probable daily intake (PDI)

To estimate MeHg intake from rice consumption, we calculated PDI values of MeHg for the general adult population in the investigated 15 provinces according to the following equation:

$$
PDI = (C \times IR \times A)/bw \tag{1}
$$

where PDI is given in  $\mu$ g kg $^{-1}$  d $^{-1}$ , bw is the body weight set at 60 kg, C is MeHg or IHg concentration in rice (μg kg $^{-1}$ ), IR is daily intake rate (kg  $d^{-1}$ ), and A is the absorption rate by human body, which is 7% for IHg and 95% for MeHg, respectively [\(WHO, 1990\)](#page-6-13). IHg concentration in rice is its THg concentration minus MeHg concentration. IR was estimated for each province from the Survey on Nutrition and Health Status of Chinese Citizens in Different Provinces (Municipalities and Autonomous Regions) in 2002. The PDIs of Hg via rice consumption presented in the study based on provincial average. Hg exposure via fish intake for local residents were not included in this study.

#### 2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 software and graphs of Hg contents were plotted using Origin (Version 9.0). The Hg measurements in samples are generally described by giving the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or geometric mean, which depends on whether the data was in a normal distribution. Relationships between covariant sets of data were subjected to regression analysis. Correlation coefficients (r) and significance probabilities (p) were computed for the linear regression fits. Differences are declared as significant in case that  $p < 0.05$ .

## 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. THg concentration in rice

The mean THg concentration in the rice samples from each province is shown in [Fig. 2](#page-2-0). A log-normal distribution was found from the 560 samples with the geometric mean of  $4.74 \mu g kg^{-1}$  and a range of

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 2. Comparison of THg concentrations in rice samples collected from different provinces in China.

Each box represents the interquartile range (25th to 75th quantiles), the band near the middle of the box is the 50th percentile (the median), the whisker spans from the 10th to 90th quartiles, and open squares indicate average values.

1.06–22.7 μg kg−<sup>1</sup> . 85% of rice samples had THg concentration in the range of  $1.0-10.0 \,\text{\upmu g\,kg}^{-1}$ . The geometric mean concentration for individual provinces was in the range of 3.44–6.11  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> whilst the corresponding median value in the range of 2.69–6.39 μg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Analysis of variance showed that there were no significant differences in THg concentrations between different provinces ( $p > 0.05$ , n = 560). Only two out of the 560 rice samples (0.3%) had THg concentration exceeding national limit for THg in cereals  $(20 \text{ ng g}^{-1})$  set by the Standardization Administration of China. However, these two values were significantly higher than rice THg concentrations found in the adjacent areas, which indicated serious Hg pollution. In order to facilitate statistical analysis, these two points were treated as outliers and do not participate in the following discussion.

Comparisons of rice Hg concentrations between this and previous studies were shown in [Table 2.](#page-3-0) To date, the majority of the studies reporting Hg concentrations in rice were conducted in China, especially in Hg mining areas. Results of THg concentrations found in the present study are comparable to several earlier studies  $(3.4-7.0 \,\mu g \,\text{kg}^{-1})$  conducted in non-polluted areas in China [\(Song et al., 2011;](#page-6-14) [Zhang et al.,](#page-6-8) [2010b, 2014](#page-6-8)), but much lower than those (10–569 μg kg<sup>-1</sup>) found in the Hg mining areas in China ([Feng et al., 2008](#page-5-5); [Horvat et al., 2003](#page-5-8); [Li](#page-5-10) [et al., 2012](#page-5-10); [Qiu et al., 2012, 2013](#page-5-11)). Note that the study by [Song et al.](#page-6-14) [\(2011\)](#page-6-14) covered six provinces of south China (THg concentration of  $4.8 \mu g kg^{-1}$ ) while that by [Zhang et al. \(2014\)](#page-6-15) covered 15 provinces of China (3.4  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>). However, [Yuan et al. \(2011\)](#page-6-16) collected the rice in the market in 2010 instead of from direct field sampling and found THg concentration of 9.5  $\pm$  8.7 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>, which had no significant difference from the present study. Overall, the THg contents in rice in nonpolluted areas of China have not changed much when comparing studies conducted in different years. Compared to several limited studies outside China, THg concentrations in rice were slightly higher in China than in the other countries, e.g.,  $3.04 \pm 2.07 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{kg}^{-1}$  in Europe ([Brombach et al., 2017\)](#page-5-12) and 2.91  $\pm$  0.86 μg kg<sup>-1</sup> in Republic of Korea ([Eom et al., 2014\)](#page-5-13).

The means (range of) THg concentration of rice in each geographical region 5.23 (1.07–19.5) μg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 5.14 (1.06–17.2) μg kg<sup>-1</sup> , 4.45 (1.41–17.2) μg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 4.20 (1.48–19.4) μg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 3.49 (1.49–10.7) μg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and 4.53 (1.30–19.4) μg kg<sup>-1</sup> in east, centre, south, southwest, northwest and northeast region, respectively [\(Fig. 3](#page-3-1)). The significant differences in the THg concentration in rice was found between the two group regions (east and northwest; centre and northwest)  $(p < 0.05, n = 560)$ . The average THg concentration in rice grain was

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 2

Comparison of THg and MeHg concentrations in rice from different studies.



<span id="page-3-1"></span>

Fig. 3. Comparison of THg concentrations in rice among different regions.  $*, p < 0.05$ , compared with northwest.

Each box represents the interquartile range (25th to 75th quantiles), the band near the middle of the box is the 50th percentile (the median), the whisker spans from the 10th to 90th quartiles, and open squares indicate average values.

the lowest in the northwest region (3.89  $\pm$  2.11 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>, geometric mean 3.49  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>) and the highest (6.60  $\pm$  4.72  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, geometric mean 5.23  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>) in the east. Hg soil contents derived from parent rock weathering showed increasing gradients from north to south and from west to east in China ([Wen, 2007](#page-6-17)). In particular, the arid soils of northwestern China displayed lower THg content than the background level elsewhere. On the other hand, ZJ and AH are located in east China that has gone through rapid industrialization and urbanization. As such, the amount of Hg emissions was higher, which was reflected in higher annual Hg dry deposition rate of  $35 \text{ mg m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in eastern China ([Wang et al., 2014](#page-6-18)). This could have contributed to higher Hg concentrations in rice from this region.

In the study of [Qian et al. \(2010\)](#page-5-14), the rice areas of China were divided into north, south, centre and southwest regions, and the geometric mean of THg concentrations was  $2.5 \mu g kg^{-1}$ ,  $2.7 \mu g kg^{-1}$ , 3.8 μg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 3.8 μg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively in these four regions, which showed no significant regional differences ( $p < 0.05$ ).

# 3.2. MeHg concentration in rice

In this study, we selected 115 rice samples for MeHg analysis, and these rice samples covered low, medium, and high THg contents in each provinces to ensure the representativeness [\(Fig. 4](#page-4-0)a). The overall MeHg concentration geometric mean was 0.682 (range of 0.035–8.71) μg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$ , similar to that (1.9–10.5 µg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) reported by [Shi et al. \(2005\)](#page-6-10) for 15 provinces, although the overall mean value in the present study

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 4. Comparison of MeHg concentrations in rice collected from different provinces (a) and regions (b).

Each box represents the interquartile range (25th to 75th quantiles), the band near the middle of the box is the 50th percentile (the median), the whisker spans from the 10th to 90th quartiles, and open squares indicate average values.

(1.06 μg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was three times lower than that reported in [Shi et al.](#page-6-10) [\(2005\)](#page-6-10) (4.7 μg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Statistical results demonstrated that MeHg in rice had no significant regional differences ( $p > 0.05$ ).

Compared with earlier studies [\(Table 2](#page-3-0)), the mean rice MeHg concentrations found in the present study were in a similar range of that reported for the Hubei province where without known Hg point sources ([Rothenberg et al., 2011](#page-5-15)), and those reported in an area of gold mine (Kratie, [Cheng et al., 2013](#page-5-16)) and Pb-Zn mine (Guangdong, [Li et al.,](#page-5-19) [2013\)](#page-5-19).

Observed MeHg concentrations in the main rice growing areas in the east, centre, south, southwest, northwest and northeast China were  $0.898$  (0.127–8.35) μg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 0.603 (0.207–2.48) μg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 0.516 (0.032–1.50) μg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 0.615 (0.050–5.03) μg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 0.704 (0.148–2.41) μg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.565 (0.035–8.71) μg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. [\(Fig. 4](#page-4-0)b).

According to the previous research, the main source of Hg in rice is atmosphere and soil. Serious soil Hg pollution was confirmed in China, and THg concentrations in 16.1% of surveyed sites exceeded national limit. However, in this study, it showed relative level of Hg content in

<span id="page-4-1"></span>



rice samples across China. [Li et al. \(2017\)](#page-5-9) confirmed that 30% of IHg in rice grain originated from the atmosphere. Unlike IHg, [Meng et al.](#page-5-24) [\(2011\)](#page-5-24) found that MeHg in soil can easily penetrate the iron membrane "barrier" of rice roots into the rice and can be transported to the aerial parts. [Zhao et al. \(2016\)](#page-6-6) found that MeHg in paddy soils in Hg mining areas is mainly derived from methylation of IHg in the soils, while MeHg from external inputs (atmospheric dry/wet deposition, irrigation water) is negligible.

# 3.3. Human health assessment

[Li et al. \(2006\)](#page-5-25) used the provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) value recommended by the World Health Organization to evaluate the THg intake in men's diets in four regions of China and the results showed that the THg intake in Chinese people are safe. In China, the daily intake of rice is relatively high, as well rice is more likely to be enriched in MeHg than other crops, which is generally 10 to 100 times higher [\(Meng et al., 2011\)](#page-5-24). As we know, IHg and MeHg have completely different exposure pathway and metabolic process uptake in human body and MeHg is more toxic than IHg for human beings, therefore we use rice MeHg and IHg data for risk assessment.

The average PDI of MeHg and IHg through rice consumption in these 15 provinces is shown in [Table 3.](#page-4-1) The provincial average PDI of IHg from rice consumption for adult population averaged at  $0.0025 \pm 0.0011 \mu g kg^{-1} d^{-1}$  (with a range of 0.0004–0.0044 μg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). The maximum value was 0.0044  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, which was 200 times lower than limit of 0.57 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> set by World Health Organization ([JECFA, 2003\)](#page-5-26). And the provincial average PDI of MeHg from rice consumption for adult population ranged from 0.0012 to 0.0134  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> with a 15 province overall average value of 0.0056  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, which was far below the limits set by World Health Organization ([JECFA, 2003](#page-5-26)) and USEPA [\(USEPA, 2001b](#page-6-20)) of 0.23 and  $0.10 \,\mathrm{\upmu g \,kg^{-1} \, d^{-1}}$ , respectively. It can be concluded that the rice samples in this study showed relative low Hg levels and human health risks.

Some researchers have conducted risk assessment of Hg exposure via rice consumption in non-polluted areas. [Huang \(2012\)](#page-5-27) found that MeHg in rice collected from Henan Province is very low and rice consumption will not affect residents' health. [Yuan et al. \(2011\)](#page-6-16) assessed health risks of Hg exposure via rice consumption in nine different provinces and cities in China, where rice consumption only contributed 1.1–6.9% of PTWI. [Guo et al. \(2018\)](#page-5-28) analyzed the risk of dietary intake of Hg in Jilin Province and MeHg concentrations in rice were under the LOD (0.002 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). [Shi et al. \(2005\)](#page-6-10) showed that rice MeHg concentrations ranging from 1.9 to 10.5  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> in 15 provinces of China

and calculated the low risks for human MeHg exposure. [Zhang et al.](#page-6-15) [\(2014\)](#page-6-15) assessed the mean values of THg weekly intakes via milled rice and brown rice consumption for different age categories were in the range of 0.09–0.19 μg kg<sup>-1</sup> bw<sup>-1</sup> and 0.14–0.27 μg kg<sup>-1</sup> bw<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, suggesting relatively low health risks. Even in Hg polluted areas, the weekly intakes of MeHg via rice consumption in a sewage irrigation area in Tianjin were 0.0095–0.49 μg kg $^{-1}$  bw $^{-1}$ , which were still at a safety threshold for residents' health risks ([Zheng et al., 2015](#page-6-19)). In many geographical regions globally, seafood is a major human exposure pathway of Hg. Seafood consumption accounts for about 95% of MeHg exposure to humans ([Houserová et al., 2007\)](#page-5-29). [Tian \(2011\)](#page-6-21) found that the mean PDI of fish in the Pearl River Delta Region was 0.046 μg kg $^{-1}$  d $^{-1}$ , accounting for 4.2% of the MeHg exposure RfD (reference dose) value set by the USEPA, and is equivalent to 20% of the PTWI (provisional tolerable weekly intake) value set by the WHO/FAO. Daily exposure to MeHg is within the safety limits so the health risk of MeHg exposure is low. [Liu et al. \(2013\)](#page-5-30) conducted an assessment of MeHg exposure among four marine species in Yongxing Island. The results showed that long-term consumption of carnivorous fish may pose some health risks to avid consumers. [Zhang et al. \(2010a\)](#page-6-1) found that MeHg intake did not exceed the dietary reference dose of 0.23 μg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> as recommended by [JECFA \(2003\)](#page-5-26), but there were 34% PDIs of samples exceeding the USEPA recommended values of 0.1 μg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for MeHg [\(USEPA, 1997](#page-6-22)). In addition, [Li et al. \(2012\)](#page-5-10) reported the PDIs through rice and fish consumption in six provinces in south China and found general populations being at low risk of MeHg exposure with rice as the dominant source for inland communities. [Li](#page-5-21) [et al. \(2015\)](#page-5-21) calculated the MeHg exposure of rice and fish on the premise of the same intake amount, and the health risk of eating rice was greater.

MeHg is more soluble than IHg and elemental Hg in lipids and can be easily absorbed by mammals and has a long half-life in the organism. Using a rice paddy biogeochemical cycle model of Hg together with a global scale atmospheric chemistry transport model for Hg, [Kwon et al.](#page-5-31) [\(2018\)](#page-5-31) revealed the link between industrial activity and coal combustion and rice Hg pollution and pointed out that different policy options will affect the future of rice Hg content. And World's atmospheric Hg emissions and atmospheric Hg levels are decreasing ([Zhang and Jaeglé,](#page-6-23) [2013;](#page-6-23) [Wu et al., 2016](#page-6-24); [Fu et al., 2015\)](#page-5-32). [Kwon et al. \(2018\)](#page-5-31) predicted that IHg and MeHg concentrations in China rice will increase by 13% under future scenarios of no policy of Hg emission control. However, the human mercury exposure via rice consumption in non-polluted areas in China is still within the safety threshold. It should be noted that even if the concentration of MeHg in food meets the safety standard, it might be hazardous to the human central nervous systems in the long run [\(NRC, 2000](#page-5-33)).

#### 4. Conclusions

This study provides the first large-scale survey and potential risk assessment of Hg and MeHg in rice harvested and consumed in China. The measured levels of THg and MeHg in rice samples are generally low. It can be concluded that the general population of China is at low risks both for MeHg and IHg exposures via the rice based diet. Future studies should take into account the contributions to PDIs from other pathway, such as consumption of fish and other aquatic food.

# Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Bureau of Frontier Sciences and Education, Chinese Academy of Sciences (QYZDJ-SSW-DQC005-03), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41622208, and 41573132), CAS "Light of West China" Program, and the Youth Innovation Promotion Association, Chinese Academy of Sciences (2017442).

#### References

- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[Brombach, C.C., Manorut, P., Kolambage-Dona, P.P., et al., 2017. Methylmercury varies](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0005) [more than one order of magnitude in commercial European rice. Food Chem. 214,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0005) 360–[365](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0005).
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[Cheng, Z., Wang, H., Du, J., et al., 2013. Dietary exposure and risk assessment of mercury](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0010) [via total diet study in Cambodia. Chemosphere 92, 143](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0010)–149.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[Clarkson, T.W., Magos, L., 2006. The toxicology of mercury and its chemical compounds.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0015) [Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 36 \(8\), 609](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0015)–662.
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[Eom, Y., Kim, D., Han, S.H., et al., 2014. Preparation of quality control materials for the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0020) [determination of mercury in rice. Food Chem. 147 \(4\), 361](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0020)–366.
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[Feng, X., Li, P., Qiu, G., et al., 2008. Human exposure to methylmercury through rice](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0025) [intake in mercury mining areas, Guizhou province, China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0025) [\(1\), 326](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0025)–332.
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[Fu, X., Feng, X., Sommar, J., et al., 2012. A review of studies on atmospheric mercury in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0030) [China. Sci. Total Environ. 421](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0030)–422 (1), 73–81.
- <span id="page-5-32"></span>[Fu, X., Zhang, H., Wang, X., et al., 2015. Observations of atmospheric mercury in China: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0035) [critical review. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15 \(16\), 11925](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0035)–11983.
- <span id="page-5-28"></span>[Guo, J., Liu, S., Bian, J., et al., 2018. Analysis of mercury pollution levels and risks in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0045) [diet of residents in Jilin province. J. Food Saf. Qual. 9 \(14\), 3623](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0045)–3628.
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[Horvat, M., Nolde, N., Fajon, V., et al., 2003. Total mercury, methylmercury and selenium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0050) [in mercury polluted areas in the province Guizhou, China. Sci. Total Environ. 304 \(1\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0050) 231–[256](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0050).
- <span id="page-5-29"></span>[Houserová, P., Kubán, V., Krácmar, S., et al., 2007. Total mercury and mercury species in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0055) birds and fi[sh in an aquatic ecosystem in the Czech Republic. Environ. Pollut. 145 \(1\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0055) 185–[194](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0055).
- <span id="page-5-27"></span>[Huang, Z., 2012. The Distributive of the THg and MeHg in the Rice Paddy Ecosystem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0060) [\(Doctoral dissertation\). Henan Polytechnic University.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0060)
- <span id="page-5-26"></span>[JECFA, 2003. Summary and Conclusions of the Sixty-First Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0065) [Expert Committee on Food Additives. Rome, Italy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0065)
- <span id="page-5-31"></span>[Kwon, S., Selin, N., Giang, A., et al., 2018. Present and future mercury concentrations in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0070) [Chinese rice: insights from modeling. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 32 \(3\), 437](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0070)–462.
- <span id="page-5-25"></span>[Li, X., Gao, J., Chen, J., 2006. Chinese total diet study in 2000 - the dietary mercuric](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0075) [intakes. J. Hyg. Res. 35 \(3\), 323](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0075)–325.
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[Li, P., Feng, X., Qiu, G., et al., 2008. Mercury exposure in the population from Wuchuan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0080) [mercury mining area, Guizhou, China. Sci. Total Environ. 395 \(2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0080)–3), 72–79.
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[Li, P., Feng, X., Yuan, X., et al., 2012. Rice consumption contributes to low level me](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0085)[thylmercury exposure in South China. Environ. Int. 49 \(1\), 18](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0085)–23.
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>[Li, B., Shi, J., Wang, X., et al., 2013. Variations and constancy of mercury and methyl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0090)[mercury accumulation in rice grown at contaminated paddy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0090) field sites in three [provinces of China. Environ. Pollut. 181 \(6\), 91](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0090)–97.
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>[Li, P., Feng, X., Chan, H., et al., 2015. Human body burden and dietary methylmercury](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0095) [intake: the relationship in a rice-consuming population. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0095) [\(16\), 9682](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0095)–9689.
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[Li, P., Du, B., Maurice, L., et al., 2017. Mercury isotope signatures of methylmercury in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0105) [rice samples from the Wanshan mercury mining area, China: environmental im](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0105)[plications. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51 \(21\), 12321](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0105)–12328.
- <span id="page-5-30"></span>Liu, [J., Xu, X., Chen, L., et al., 2013. Mercury and methylmercury contamination in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0110) fish [from Yongxing Island and human health risk evaluation. Mar. Environ. Sci. 32 \(6\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0110) 867–[870](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0110).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[Meng, B., Feng, X., Qiu, G., et al., 2010. Distribution patterns of inorganic mercury and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0115) [methylmercury in tissues of rice \(Oryza sativa L.\) plants and possible bioaccumula](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0115)[tion pathways. J. Agric. Food Chem. 58 \(8\), 4951](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0115)–4958.
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>[Meng, B., Feng, X., Qiu, G., et al., 2011. The process of methylmercury accumulation in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0120) [rice \(Oryza sativa L.\). Environ. Sci. Technol. 45 \(7\), 2711](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0120)–2717.
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>[Meng, M., Li, B., Shao, J., et al., 2014. Accumulation of total mercury and methylmercury](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0130) in rice plants collected from diff[erent mining areas in China. Environ. Pollut. 184 \(1\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0130) 179–[186](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0130).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[Mergler, D., Anderson, H.A., Chan, L.H.M., et al., 2007. Methylmercury exposure and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0135) health eff[ects in humans: a worldwide concern. Ambio 36 \(1\), 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0135)–11.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[NBS. National Bureau of Statistics, 2015. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0140) [Press, Beijing.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0140)
- <span id="page-5-33"></span>[NRC. National Research Council \(US\) Committee on the Toxicological E](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0145)ffects of [Methylmercury, 2000. Toxicological E](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0145)ffects of Methylmercury.
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[Qian, Y., Chen, C., Qi, Z., et al., 2010. Concentrations of cadmium, lead, mercury and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0150) [arsenic in Chinese market milled rice and associated population health risk. Food](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0150) [Control 21 \(12\), 1757](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0150)–1763.
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[Qiu, G., Feng, X., Li, P., et al., 2008. Methylmercury accumulation in rice \(Oryza sativa L.\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0155) [grown at abandoned mercury mines in Guizhou, China. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56 \(7\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0155) 2465–[2468](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0155).
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[Qiu, G., Feng, X., Meng, B., et al., 2012. Environmental geochemistry of an active Hg mine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf2005) [in Xunyang, Shaanxi Province, China. Appl. Geochem. 27 \(12\), 2280](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf2005)–2288.
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>[Qiu, G., Feng, X., Meng, B., et al., 2013. Environmental geochemistry of an abandoned](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0160) [mercury mine in Yanwuping, Guizhou Province, China. Environ. Res. 125 \(SI\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0160) 124–[130](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0160).
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[Rothenberg, S.E., Feng, X., Dong, B., et al., 2011. Characterization of mercury species in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0165) [brown and white rice \(Oryza sativa, L.\) grown in water-saving paddies. Environ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0165) [Pollut. 159 \(5\), 1283](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0165)–1289.
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[Rothenberg, S.E., Feng, X., Zhou, W., et al., 2012. Environment and genotype controls on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0170) [mercury accumulation in rice \(Oryza sativa L.\) cultivated along a contamination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0170) [gradient in Guizhou, China. Sci. Total Environ. 426 \(1\), 272](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0170)–280.
- <span id="page-5-23"></span>[Rothenberg, S.E., Yu, X., Zhang, Y., 2013. Prenatal methylmercury exposure through](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0175) [maternal rice ingestion: insights from a feasibility pilot in Guizhou Province, China.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0175) [Environ. Pollut. 180 \(3\), 291](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0175)–298.
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[Rothenberg, S., Yin, R., Hurley, J.P., et al., 2017. Stable mercury isotopes in polished rice](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0180)

[\(Oryza sativa L.\) and hair from rice consumers. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51 \(11\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0180) [6480](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0180)–6488.

- <span id="page-6-10"></span>[Shi, J., Liang, L., Jiang, G., 2005. Simultaneous determination of methylmercury and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0185) [ethylmercury in rice by capillary gas chromatography coupled on-line with atomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0185) fl[uorescence spectrometry. J. AOAC Int. 88 \(2\), 665](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0185)-669.
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[Smith-Downey, N.V., Sunderl, E.M., Jacob, D.J., 2010. Anthropogenic impacts on global](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0190) [storage and emissions of mercury from terrestrial soils: insights from a new global](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0190) [model. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 115 \(G3\), 227](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0190)–235.
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>[Song, W., Chen, Z., Zhu, Z., et al., 2011. Survey and dietary exposure assessment of total](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0195) [mercury in milled rice farmed in 6 provinces of South China. J. Agro-Environ. Sci. 30](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0195) [\(5\), 817](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0195)–823.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[Streets, D.G., Zhang, Q., Wu, Y., 2009. Projections of global mercury emissions in 2050.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0200) [Environ. Sci. Technol. 43 \(8\), 2983](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0200)–2988.
- [Streets, D.G., Devane, M.K., Lu, Z., et al., 2011. All-time releases of mercury to the at](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0205)[mosphere from human activities. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45 \(24\), 10485](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0205)–10491.
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>[Tian, W., 2011. Human Exposure Level and Risk Assessment of Total Mercury and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0210) [Methylmercury in the Pearl River Delta. Jinan University.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0210)
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>[USEPA, 1997. Mercury Study Report to the Congress, Volume V: Health E](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0215)ffects of [Mercury and Mercury Compounds. USEPA, Washington, DC, USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0215).
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>[USEPA, 2001a. U. S., Method 1630: Methyl Mercury in Water by Distillation, Aqueous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0220) [Ethylation, Purge and Trap, and CVAFS.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0220)
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>[USEPA, 2001b. Water Quality Criterion for the Protection of Human Health](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0225)
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>[Methylmercury, EPA-823-R-01-001. US. EPA, Washington, DC.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0225) [USEPA, U. S, 2002. Method 1631, Revision E: Mercury in Water by Oxidation, Purge and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0230)
- [Trap, and Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0230) [Wang, L., Wang, S., Zhang, L., et al., 2014. Source apportionment of atmospheric mercury](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0235)
- <span id="page-6-18"></span><span id="page-6-17"></span>[pollution in China using the GEOS-Chem model. Environ. Pollut. 190 \(7\), 166](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0235)–175. [Wen, X., 2007. Geochemical spatial distribution of mercury in China. Geochimica 36 \(6\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0240)
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>633–[637](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0240). [WHO, 1990. Environmental Health Criteria 101: Methylmercury. World Health](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0245) [Organization, Geneva](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0245).
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>[Wu, Q., Wang, S., Li, G., et al., 2016. Temporal trend and spatial distribution of speciated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0250) [atmospheric mercury emissions in China during 1978-2014. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0250) [\(24\), 13428](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0250)–13435.
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>[Xu, X., Meng, B., Zhang, C., et al., 2017. The local impact of a coal-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0255)fired power plant on [inorganic mercury and methyl-mercury distribution in rice \(Oryza sativa L.\). Environ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0255) [Pollut. 223, 11](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0255)–18.
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[Yin, R., Feng, X., Meng, B., 2013. Stable Hg Isotope Variation in Rice Plants \(Oryza sativa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0260) [L.\) from the Wanshan Hg Mining District, SW China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47 \(5\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0260) 2238–[2245](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0260).
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>[Yuan, X., Feng, X., Qiu, G., et al., 2011. Mercury concentrations in rice from China. Earth](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0265) [Environ. 39 \(3\), 318](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0265)–323.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[Zahir, F., Rizwi, S.J., Haq, S.K., et al., 2005. Low dose mercury toxicity and human health.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0270) [Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 20 \(2\), 351](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0270)–360.
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>[Zhang, Y., Jaeglé, L., 2013. Decreases in mercury wet deposition over the United States](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0275) during 2004–[2010: roles of domestic and global background emission reductions.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0275) [Atmosphere. 4, 113](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0275)–131.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[Zhang, H., Feng, X., Larssen, T., et al., 2010a. In inland China, rice, rather than](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0280) fish, is the [major pathway for methylmercury exposure. Environ. Health Perspect. 118 \(9\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0280) 1183–[1188](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0280).
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>Zhang H, Feng X, Larssen, et al., 2010b. Bioaccumulation of methylmercury versus inorganic mercury in rice (Oryza sativa L.) grain. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44(12), 4499–4504.
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>[Zhang, H., Wang, D., Zhang, J., et al., 2014. Total mercury in milled rice and brown rice](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0285) [from China and health risk evaluation. Food Addit. Contam., Part B 7 \(2\), 141](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0285)–146.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[Zhang, L., Wang, S., Wang, L., et al., 2015. Updated emission inventories for speciated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0290) [atmospheric mercury from anthropogenic sources in China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0290) [\(5\), 3185](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0290)–3194.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[Zhang, Y., Jacob, D.J., Horowitz, H.M., et al., 2016. Observed decrease in atmospheric](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0295) [mercury explained by global decline in anthropogenic emissions. Proc. Natl. Acad.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0295) [Sci. U. S. A. 113 \(3\), 526](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0295)–531.
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[Zhao, L., Qiu, G., Anderson, C.W., et al., 2016. Mercury methylation in rice paddies and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0300) [its possible controlling factors in the Hg mining area, Guizhou province, Southwest](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0300) [China. Environ. Pollut. 215, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0300)–9.
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>[Zheng, S., Tang, J., Zheng, H., et al., 2015. Pollution characteristics and risk assessments](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0305) [of mercury in wastewater-irrigated paddy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0305) fields. China Environ. Sci. 35 (9), 2729–[2736](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0160-4120(18)32806-X/rf0305).