Chemosphere 253 (2020) 126634

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chemosphere

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chemosphere

Evaluation of the effects of Hg/DOC ratios on the reduction of Hg(II) in lake water



霐

Chemosphere

Zhuhong Wang^a, Zhijun Fei^a, Qixin Wu^b, Runsheng Yin^{c,*}

^a School of Public Health, Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution and Disease Monitoring of Ministry of Education, Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang, 550000, China

^b Key Laboratory of Karst Environment and Geohazard, Ministry of Land and Resources, Guizhou University, Guiyang, 550025, China ^c State Key Laboratory of Ore Deposit Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang, 550081, China

HIGHLIGHTS

- Hg/DOC ratio has a dual role in the reduction of Hg(II) from natural waters.
- Maximum percentage reduction of Hg (~30%) occurred when Hg/DOC ratio was 1134 ng mg⁻¹.
- The reduction of Hg(II) may be inhibited by less amount of solar radiation on a single Hg atom.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 November 2019 Received in revised form 23 March 2020 Accepted 25 March 2020 Available online 28 March 2020

Handling Editor: Petra Petra Krystek

Keywords: Mercury Photoreduction Hg/DOC Lake water

1. Introduction

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



ABSTRACT

Reduction of mercury (Hg) in natural waters, which releases a substantial amount of gaseous Hg(0) to the atmosphere, has a close relationship to Hg/DOC ratios. However, the role of Hg/DOC ratio on the Hg(II) reduction in natural waters remains poorly understood. In this study, natural water collected from Hongfeng Lake, SW China, was used to study the effects of Hg/DOC ratio on the Hg(II) reduction. The lake water was spiked with variable amounts of Hg to make different Hg/DOC solutions. Through a 72 h Hg(II) reduction process, we demonstrated that the maximum percentage reduction of Hg (29 \pm 3%) occurred when Hg/DOC ratio was 1134 ng mg⁻¹. Further increase or decrease of the Hg/DOC ratio swould inhibit the reduction of Hg(II). This study clearly demonstrated that Hg/DOC ratio has a dual role in the reduction of Hg(II) from natural waters.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Mercury (Hg) is a persistent, bioaccumulative and semi-volatile pollutant that is ubiquitously distributed around the globe.

Elemental Hg(0) is highly volatile and is the dominant form of Hg in

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: yinrunsheng@mail.gyig.ac.cn (R. Yin).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126634 0045-6535/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. the atmosphere (Canario et al., 2017). Gaseous Hg(0) is oxidized in the atmosphere by atmospheric oxidants to form divalent Hg(II), which is highly soluble and is readily deposited to aquatic ecosystems (Garcia et al., 2005). In aquatic systems, Hg(II) is readily microbially methylated to form methylmercury (MeHg), a neurotoxin that can be bioaccumulated along the food chain (Harris et al., 2007). In natural waters, mercury occurs in many forms that depend on the oxidation-reduction conditions. Hg(II) in natural waters can be photochemically and microbially reduced to Hg(0) that is readily released to the atmosphere. The reduction of Hg(II) is of great importance in the global biogeochemical cycling of Hg (Bergquist and Blum, 2007; Schroeder and Munthe, 1998; O'Driscoll et al., 2005).

The reduction of aqueous Hg(II) is thought to be controlled by many factors such as temperature, pH. Eh. light radiation and dissolved organic matter (DOM) concentrations. DOM, primarily measured as dissolved organic carbon (DOC), occurs in natural waters and sediments usually at concentrations much higher than Hg (Morel et al., 1998; Barkay et al., 1997). DOM commonly forms complexes with many forms of Hg in natural waters (O'Driscoll et al., 2018). Therefore, the redox reactions of Hg in the presence of DOM play an important role in controlling the speciation of Hg in natural waters (Skyllberg, 2008; Miller et al., 2007, 2009; O'Driscoll et al., 2004). It has been observed that the photoreduction of Hg(II) is positively correlated to DOM levels in natural waters (Grasby et al., 2013). In some experimental studies, the presence of DOM corresponds with a significant increase in Hg(II) photoreduction, as higher Hg(II) reduction rates were observed at higher DOM concentrations (Xiao et al., 1995; Costa and Liss, 1999). For instance, Wollenberg et al. (Wollenberg and Peters, 2009) suggested that Hg(0) emission rates in lake waters were positively correlated with DOC concentrations. Jeremiason et al. (2015) further demonstrated that DOC is the ultimate electron donor in Hg(II) photoreduction, and the DOC-bound Hg may be highly photosensitive. Orihel et al. (2007) reported that substantial amounts of Hg(II) deposited to lakes are quickly reduced to Hg(0) by solar radiation-driven reactions. In recent studies, it was suggested that DOM has a dual effect on the redox reactions of Hg in natural waters (Rocha et al., 2000; Mauclair et al., 2008). A few studies demonstrated that the reduction of Hg(II) can be inhibited by DOC at high concentrations (Amyot et al., 1994; Tseng et al., 2004). In other words, the photoreduction of Hg(II) is largely related to Hg/DOC ratios.

The kinetics of aqueous Hg(II) photoreduction have been studied at low to high Hg/DOC ratios, ranging from 20 to 100,000 ng of Hg per mg of DOC, by a number of studies at different times in different conditions (Zheng and Hintelmann, 2009; Gu et al., 2011; Haverstock et al., 2012; Driscoll et al., 1995). Although these studies provided some insights on the role of Hg/DOC ratios on aqueous Hg(II) photoreduction, each study focused on a certain range of Hg/ TOC ratios using different types of water. To better understand the effects of Hg/DOC ratios on aqueous Hg(II) photoreduction, a controlled experiment covering a large range of Hg/DOC ratios using the same natural water at the same time is required.

In this study, natural waters were sampled from the Hongfeng Lake, SW China, and were spiked with variable amounts of Hg(II) to make different Hg/DOC solutions. These solutions were exposed to natural sunlight under room temperature for three consecutive days, and the reduction of Hg in these solutions was studied to understand the effects of Hg/TOC ratio on the Hg(II) reduction.

2. Materials and method

2.1. Materials and reagents

Hg standard solution (NIST SRM 3133) was purchased from the National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST, U.S. Department of Commerce). Other reagents (BrCl, HCl, NH₂OH·HCl, SnCl₂) used in this study were of analytical grade and were purchased from the Dongxing Chemical Group Co. Ltd., China. The 18.2 M Ω cm⁻¹ water (Millipore) was used to prepare the reagents. The glass vessels including 2 L Griffin beakers and 20 mL high borosilicate glass bottles were precleaned with 2% BrCl for 48 h, 20% HNO₃ for 24 h, washed by 18.2 M Ω cm⁻¹ water thoroughly, and were heated in a muffle furnace at 500 °C for 12 h to remove trace Hg before their use.

Surface water (depth: 10 cm) was collected in the middle of Hongfeng Lake in August 2019 (Fig. 1). The Hongfeng Lake (N $26^{\circ}26'-26^{\circ}36'$, E $106^{\circ}19'-106^{\circ}28'$), located about 32 km west of Guiyang, SW China (Zhu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2016), is a multifunctional water body that is used not only for drinking water, but



Fig. 1. Study area and sampling sites.

Table 1		
Physical and ch	mical properties of water samples.	

рН	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	DOC (mg/L)	Hg (ng/L)	Total Phosphorus (mg/kg)
8.83	264	8.69	4.41	30	1815

Table 2

Initial Hg and DOC concentration, and Hg/TOC ratios in the Hg(II) reduction experiment.

Breaker Number	$Hg (ng/mL^{-1})$	$DOC (mg L^{-1})$	Hg/DOC (ng mg ⁻¹)
P1, D1	2	4.41	454
P2, D2	5	4.41	1134
P3, D3	10	4.41	2268
P4, D4	20	4.41	4535
P5, D5	40	4.41	9070
P6, D6	80	4.41	18141
P7, D7	120	4.41	27211

also for hydropower, flood control, shipping, commercial aquaculture and recreation (He et al., 2012). The water was filtered through cellulose-acetate membrane filters (0.22 μ m, Whatman) into the 2L polyethylene bottles, stored in dark conditions at 4 °C, and transported to the laboratory prior to use. The physical and chemical properties of the collected water were immediately measured in the field (Table 1).

2.2. Photoreduction experiment and sample analysis

The experiment was performed from 6 a.m. on August 25, 2019 to 7 p.m. on August 27, 2019, in an open field. Sixteen precleaned 2L Griffin beakers, labeled P1-P7 and D1-D7, were used. Each beaker contained 1 L of the filtered water from the Hongfeng Lake. Different amounts of the Hg standard (NIST SRM 3133) were added into beakers, resulting in variable water Hg concentrations and Hg/ DOC ratios in the beakers (Table 2). The beakers were stirred by a glass rod to ensure well mixing. The glass rod was carefully rinsed by 18.2 M Ω cm water between beakers.

Breakers P1-P7 were exposed to sunlight and the remainders (D1-D7) were placed in dark conditions for comparison. After 72 h, three subsamples of solution (20 mL each) from each beaker was pipetted into the precleaned borosilicate glass bottle and soon digested with 0.5% BrCl. The collected samples were then kept in a box to block out of sunlight and stored in the refrigerator before Hg concentration analysis the cold atomic absorption method used the F732-V mercury analyzer (Shanghai Huaguang Instrument Company). The relative standard deviations for duplicate samples were within 10%. The recoveries of THg for spiked samples were 90–110% (n = 6).

No rainfall events occurred during the experimental period. The evaporation caused the loss of water, therefore, the weights of water in the beakers were weighed before sampling. The meteorological parameters (temperature, total solar radiation) during the three days were obtained from the Guizhou Meteorological Bureau, China, based on data collected from the Guanshanhu Station, which is about 5 km away from our experiment site.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Kinetics of Hg(II) reduction and its relationship with Hg/DOC ratios

The masses of Hg in different solutions $(Hg_{solution})$ were calculated by multiplying Hg concentrations by the volumes of the solution. The volumes of the solutions were calculated according to

the masses of the solution, assuming the density of the solution was 1 g mL⁻¹. Compared to the initial Hg masses (Hg_{initial}) added in the solutions, the Hg_{solution} in different Hg/DOC solutions were gradually decreased during the experiment, at varying rates. The amounts of Hg reduction in different solutions are shown in Fig. 2A. The amounts of Hg reduction in solutions exposed to sunlight are variable, ranging from 371 to 2132 ng, however, it is interesting that the amount of Hg reduction peaked in breakers P2-P5, which has intermediate Hg concentration and the highest Hg/DOC ratio (Table 2). However, in other breakers with either higher or lower Hg concentration and Hg/DOC ratio, we observed a relatively small amount of Hg reduction. In comparison to those exposed to sunlight, the amounts of Hg in solutions placed in dark conditions are in general smaller, ranging from 11 to 520 ng. The larger amounts of Hg reduction in solutions exposed to sunlight are therefore hypothesized to be caused by photochemical reduction.

To compare the rate of Hg reduction in different Hg/DOC solutions, the percentages of Hg remained (Hg_{remained}(%)) and the percentages of Hg reduction (Hg_{reduction}(%)) in the solutions were calculated using the following equations:

$$Hg_{remained}(\%) = Hg_{solution}/Hg_{initial} \times 100\%$$
(1)

$$Hg_{reduction}(\%) = 100\% - Hg_{remained}(\%)$$
(2)



Fig. 2. (A) Relation between the amount of Hg reduction (ng) and Hg/DOC ratio (ng mg^{-1}) in the Hg reduction experiment; (B) Relation between the Hg_{reduction} (%) and Hg/DOC ratio (ng mg^{-1}) in the Hg reduction experiment.

As shown in Fig. 2B, the Hg_{reduction}(%) in solutions (P1-P7) exposed to sunlight range of $1 \pm 12\%$ to $29 \pm 3\%$ (2SD, n = 3), suggesting Hg(II) reduction occurs at varying degrees. The Hgreduction(%) was largely variable with different Hg/DOC ratios. The highest $Hg_{reduction}(\%)$ of 29 ± 3% was observed in solution with Hg/ DOC of 1134 ng mg⁻¹. With further increase in Hg/DOC ratios beyond 1.134 ng/mL, however, the Hg_{reduction}(%) decreased gradually until $Hg_{reduction}(\%)$ was close to 0% at Hg/DOC of >18141 ng mg⁻¹. On the other hand, relatively lower Hg_{reduction}(%) of 18 \pm 6% was observed when Hg/DOC was <1134 ng mg⁻¹. This indicates Hg(II) reduction was inhibited at either lower or higher Hg/DOC ratios than Hg/DOC of 1134 ng mg⁻¹. In comparison, no significant changes in Hg masses, with Hg_{reduction}(%) ranging from $0 \pm 7\%$ (2SD, n = 3) to $2 \pm 5\%$ (2SD, n = 3), were observed in solutions (D1-D7) placed in dark conditions. In this case, we concluded that the loss of Hg was mainly driven by photoreduction.

Fig. 2 clearly indicates that DOC/Hg ratios played a dual role in controlling the photoreduction of Hg(II). DOM molecule not only complexed with Hg(II) to form Hg-DOM complexes but also acts as a reducing agent. DOM acts as a photosensitizer and initiates the Hg(II) reduction by accelerating the electron transfer process. Active sites (e.g., carboxyl groups, phenolic hydroxyl groups, and amino groups) within the DOM molecule may play an important role in Hg(II) reduction (Zhang, 2006); DOM molecule absorbs light energy and decomposes into molecules or groups with relatively small molecular weight (Bertilsson and Tranvik, 2000; Kaiser and Sulzberger, 2004; Xiao et al., 1995). During these processes, some active sites in the DOM molecule undergo reduction and oxidation reactions to produce free radical intermediates ($R \cdot Hg_2^{2+}$, and Hg^+). Hg_2^{2+} or Hg^+ species that are highly reactive, and can be quickly reduced to Hg(0) with the presence of excessive electron donors (e.g. organic compounds) (Horvath and Vogler, 1998; Kunkely et al., 1997). These reactions are simplified as follows:

$$2R - Hg(II) + h\nu \rightarrow 2R + Hg_2^{2+}$$
(3)

 $Hg_2^{2+} + h\nu \to 2Hg^+ \tag{4}$

$$Hg^+ + DOM \rightarrow Hg(0) + product$$
 (5)

3.2. Low Hg/DOC ratios inhibit Hg(II) photoreduction

Our results suggest that at low Hg/TOC ranges $(0-1134 \text{ ng mg}^{-1})$, the increase of Hg/TOC can promote the Hg(II) photoreduction (Fig. 2). This is because DOM contains reduced sulfur groups (e.g., thiol and disulfide/disulfane functional groups) which have an affinity to Hg(II) (Drexel et al., 2002; Han and Gill, 2005). At low Hg/DOC ratios $(0-1134 \text{ ng mg}^{-1})$, the concentration of Hg is much lower than that of reduced sulfur in DOM. Hg primarily binds to these reduced sulfur groups with high stability constants, which results in lower Hg(II) reduction rates. Moreover, at high Hg/DOC ratios, the reduction of Hg(II) may be decreased due to the physicochemical sorption and ligand-induced oxidative complexation between DOM and Hg(0), as indicated in reactions (6) and (7), respectively (Arias et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2006; Makkuni et al., 2007).

$$2R-SH + Hg(0) \rightarrow R-SH - Hg(0) - HS - R$$
(6)

 $R-SH···Hg(0) ···HS-R \rightarrow R-S-Hg(II)-S-R + 2H^{+} + 2e^{-}$ (7)

In addition, due to Hg is a class B soft metal with a strong tendency to coordinate or complex with soft bases such as thiols (Skyllberg et al., 2006), Hg is formed by ligand-induced oxidative complexation at low Hg/DOC conditions. In this case, the reductant Hg(0) may be oxidized again and subsequently complexed with the intermediate thiolate products, according to the following reactions (R-S-S-R' represents DOM with oxidized sulfur or disulfides).

$$R-S-S-R' + Hg(0) \rightarrow Hg(II) + R-S^{-} + R'-S^{-}$$
(8)

$$Hg(II) + R-S^{-} + R'-S^{-} \rightarrow R-S-Hg(II)-S-R'$$
(9)

3.3. High Hg/DOC ratios inhibit Hg(II) photoreduction

Our results also indicate that at high Hg/TOC ratios $(>1134 \text{ ng mg}^{-1})$, the increase of Hg/TOC can inhibit the Hg(II) reduction (Fig. 2). Similar observations have been observed in previous studies (Amyot et al., 1997; Rolfhus and Fitzgerald, 2001). With the increase of Hg/DOC, the concentration of Hg far exceeds that of reduced sulfur. In this case, all reduced sulfur groups within DOM may be saturated by a small fraction of Hg, and the remaining part of Hg is expected to be combined with oxygen functional groups in DOM. Solar energy plays a critical role in the photoreduction of aqueous Hg(II), however, only when photoreceptors (e.g., DOM molecules) absorb enough photon energy, electrons are excited to initiate Hg(II) photoreduction (Balzani and Garassiti, 1970). The cleavage of bonds in the DOM-Hg complexes needs some time to absorb enough solar energy during photoreduction. During the experiment period, the surface solar energy was consistently weak (0–2.9 mJ m⁻³, Fig. A1). Therefore, at high Hg/ TOC conditions (>1134 ng mg⁻¹), a long time was needed for destroying a single Hg-DOM bond to initiate Hg(II) photoreduction. Meanwhile, the solar energy absorbed on the photoreceptors is thought to have released at night. More importantly, at high Hg/ TOC ratios, the high Hg concentration led to the formation of more DOM-Hg complexities, which tended to dilute the solar energy on a single Hg-DOM bond. In this case, the solar energy in this study was expected to be not strong enough to initiate Hg(II) photoreduction at high Hg/TOC ratios (>1134 ng mg^{-1}), thereby caused the decrease of $Hg_{reduction}(\%)$ at high Hg/TOC ranges (>1134 ng mg⁻¹).

In earlier experimental studies, when the photoreduction of Hg(II) was under a single light source (e.g., visible light, UVA, UVB), the rate of Hg(II) reduction was shown to be negatively correlated with the wavelength of light (λ) (Lehnherr and Louis, 2009). λ can be calculated using the following equation:

$$\varepsilon = hc_{\lambda} \tag{10}$$

where ε is the energy of a photon; c is the speed of light; h is the Planck constant. In other words, the photoreduction of Hg(II) was positively correlated with ε , if λ remain consistent. In this study, the waters with different Hg/DOC ratios were placed under the same natural light, therefore no difference in λ was expected for different solutions. As the same size of beakers were used in this study, it is thought that the same amount of solar radiation was received by the solutions. Solar energy plays a critical role in the photoreduction of aqueous Hg(II), however, only when photoreceptors (e.g., DOM molecules) absorb enough photon energy, electrons are excited to initiate Hg(II) photoreduction (Balzani and Garassiti, 1970). In this case, the decrease of Hg_{reduction}(%) at high Hg/TOC ratios (>1134 ng mg⁻¹) can be explained by the conceptional model as shown in Fig. 3. As DOM molecules are important receptors of photon energy that initiate Hg(II) photoreduction, the increase of DOM-Hg complexities tends to dilute (or decrease) the effective photon energy of photoreduction on DOM-Hg complexities,



Fig. 3. A conceptional model showing the decrease of $Hg_{reduction}(\%)$ at high Hg/TOC ratios (>1134 ng mg⁻¹).

thereby causing the lower efficiency of Hg(II) photoreduction. We believed that the ratio between the masses of Hg (Hg_{mass}) in the solution and the amount of solar radiation (Radiation_{solar}), termed as Hg_{masses}/Radiation_{solar}, is reflective of the efficiency of Hg(II) photoreduction. The Hg_{masses}/Radiation_{solar} ratios in solutions exposed to sunlight (P1-P7) were calculated and shown in Fig. 4. Indeed, a significantly positive correlation between the Hg_{reduction}(%) and Hg_{mass}/Radiation_{solar} ratio (p < 0.02) can be observed when Hg/DOC ratios were higher than 1134 ng mg⁻¹ (Fig. 4), which suggests that low Hg(II) photoreduction efficiency in high Hg/DOC solutions was driven by the decrease of photon energy on DOM-Hg complexities.

4. Conclusions and environmental implications

This study firmly proved that Hg/DOC ratios of natural waters can play a dual role in the photoreduction of Hg(II) in natural waters. Regarding the Hongfeng lake water, the maximum Hg(II) reduction rate occurs when Hg(II) concentration was 2 ng/mL, with a Hg/DOC ratio of ~1134 ng mg⁻¹. Further increase or decrease of Hg(II) concentrations in the water, resulting in higher or lower Hg/DOC ratios, respectively, would inhibit the reduction of Hg(II). In most natural waters, the Hg(II) concentration is typically lower than 2 ng/mL and the Hg/DOC should be lower than ~1134 ng mg⁻¹ as well. Within this low Hg concentration (0–2 ng/mL) and Hg/DOC



Fig. 4. Relation between $Hg_{reduction}(\%)$ and $Hg_{mass}/Radiation_{solar}$ ratio in solutions exposed to sunlight.

ranges $(0-1134 \text{ ng mg}^{-1})$, the reduction of Hg(II) in natural waters should be commonly increased by the enhanced input of DOM to the water. This explains the positive correlation between Hg(II) reduction rates and DOM levels observed in natural waters. This

study also suggests that the reduction of Hg(II) may be facilitated in Hg-polluted or organic-rich water bodies, in particular when the Hg concentration reaches 2 ng/mL and the Hg/DOC ratio reaches ~1134 ng mg⁻¹. However, with the continued increase of Hg concentration (>2 ng/mL) or Hg/DOC ratio (>1134 ng mg⁻¹) in the water body, the reduction of Hg(II) may be inhibited by less solar energy on a single Hg-DOM bond. This study, thus, provides important insights into the biogeochemical cycling of Hg in the environment.

Declaration of competing interest

We declare that we have no financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that can inappropriately influence our work, there is no professional or other personal interest of any nature or kind in any product, service and/or company that could be construed as influencing the position presented in, or the review of, the manuscript entitled.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Zhuhong Wang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft. **Zhijun Fei:** Validation, Formal analysis, Writing - original draft. **Qixin Wu:** Writing - review & editing. **Runsheng Yin:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (41603020), Joint Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Guizhou Province, China (U1612442), the first-class discipline construction project in Guizhou Province - Public Health and Preventive Medicine (NO.2017 [85], GNYL[2017]007). Two anomalous reviewers were thanked for their constructive comments.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126634.

References

- O'Driscoll, N.J., Lean, D.R.S., Loseto, L.L., Carignan, R., Siciliano, S.D., 2004. Effect of dissolved organic carbon on the photoproduction of dissolved gaseous mercury in lakes: potential impacts of forestry. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 2664–2672.
- Amyot, M., McQueen, D.J., Mierle, G., Lean, D.R.S., 1994. Sunlight-induced formation of dissolved gaseous mercury in lake waters. Environ. Sci. Technol. 28, 2366–2371.
- Amyot, M., Mierle, G., Lean, D., McQueen, D.J., 1997. Effect of solar radiation on the formation of dissolved gaseous mercury in temperate lakes. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta 61, 975–987.
- Arias, Z.G., Alvarez, J.L.M., Fonseca, J.M.L., 2004. Electrochemical characterization of the self-assembled monolayer of 6-thioguanine on the mercury electrode. Electroanalysis 16, 1044–1050.
- Balzani, V., Garassiti, V., 1970. Photochemistry of Coordination Compounds. Academic Press, London.
- Barkay, T., Gillman, M., Turner, R.R., 1997. Effects of dissolved organic carbon and salinity on bioavailability of mercury. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63, 4267–4271.
- Bergquist, B.A., Blum, J.D., 2007. Mass-dependent and-independent fractionation of Hg isotopes by photoreduction in aquatic systems. Science 318 (5849), 417–420. Bertilsson, S., Tranvik, L.J., 2000. Photochemical transformation of dissolved organic
- matter in lakes. Limnol. Oceanogr. 45, 753–762. Canario, J., et al., 2017. Salt-marsh plants as potential sources of Hg(0) into the atmosphere. Atmos. Environ. 152, 458–464.
- Costa, M., Liss, P.S., 1999. Photoreduction of mercury in seawater and its possible implications for Hg0 air-sea fluxes. Mar. Chem. 68, 87–95.
- Drexel, R.T., Haitzer, M., Ryan, J.N., Aiken, G.R., Nagy, K.L., 2002. Mercury(II) sorption to two Florida everglades peats: evidence for strong and weak binding and competition by dissolved organic matter released from the peat. Environmental Science & Technology 36, 4058–4064.

- Driscoll, C.T., et al., 1995. The role of dissolved organic carbon in the chemistry and bioavailability of mercury in remote Adirondack lakes. Water Air Soil Pollut. 80 (1–14), 499–508.
- Garcia, E., Amyot, M., Ariya, P.A., 2005. Relationship between DOC photochemistry and mercury redox transformations in temperate lakes and wetlands. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta 69, 1917–1924.
- Grasby, S.E., Sanei, H., Beauchamp, B., Chen, Z., 2013. Mercury deposition through the permo-triassic biotic crisis. Chem. Geol. 351, 209–816.
- Gu, B.H., et al., 2011. Mercury reduction and complexation by natural organic matter in anoxic environments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. Unit. States Am. 108 (4), 1479–1483.
- Han, S., Gill, G.A., 2005. Determination of mercury complexation in coastal and estuarine waters using competitive ligand exchange method. Environmental Science & Technology 39, 6607–6615.
- Harris, R.C., et al., 2007. Whole-ecosystem study shows rapid fish-mercury response to changes in mercury deposition. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 16586–16591.
- Haverstock, S., Sizmur, T., Murimboh, J., O'Driscoll, N.J., 2012. Modeling the photooxidation of dissolved organic matter by ultraviolet radiation in freshwater lakes: implications for mercury bioavailability. Chemosphere 88 (10), 1220–1226.
- He, T., et al., 2012. The impact of sulfate reduction on the distribution of methylmercury in reservoirs in the city of Guiyang. Chin. J. Geochem. 32 (1), 104–109.
- Horvath, O., Vogler, A., 1998. Photoreduction of mercury(II) in aqueous solution in the presence of cyclohexene. Hydroxomercuration and two-stage photolysis. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 1, 270–272.
- Jeremiason, J., et al., 2015. Photoreduction of Hg(II) and photodemethylation of methylmercury: the key role of thiol sites on dissolved organic matter. Environ. Sci.: Process. Impacts 17 (11), 1892–1903.
- Kaiser, E., Sulzberger, B., 2004. Phototransformation of riverine dissolved organic matter (DOM) in the presence of abundant iron: effect on DOM bioavailability. Limnol. Oceanogr. 49, 540–554.
- Kunkely, H., Horvath, O., Vogler, A., 1997. Ligand and metal centered inorganic exciplexes generated by excited transition metal complexes. Coord. Chem. Rev. 159, 41–54.
- Lee, J.Y., Ju, Y.H., Keener, T.C., Varma, R.S., 2006. Development of cost-effective noncarbon sorbents for Hg(0) removal from coal-fired power plants. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40, 2714–2720.
- Lehnherr, I., Louis, V.L.S., 2009. Importance of ultraviolet radiation in the photodemethylation of methylmercury in freshwater ecosystems. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43 (15), 5692–5698.
- Makkuni, A., Varma, R.S., Sikdar, S.K., Bhattacharyya, D., 2007. Vapor phase mercury sorption by organic sulfide modified bimetallic iron-copper nanoparticle aggregates. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 46, 1305–1315.
- Mauclair, C., Layshock, J., Carpi, A., 2008. Quantifying the effect of humic matter on the emission of mercury from artificial soil surfaces. Appl. Geochem. 23, 594–601.
- Miller, C.L., Mason, R.P., Gilmour, C.C., Heyes, A., 2007. Influenceof dissolved organic matter on the complexation of mercury under sulfidic conditions. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 26, 624–633.
- Miller, C.L., Southworth, G., Brooks, S.C., Liang, L., Gu, B., 2009. Kinetic controls on the complexation between mercury and dissolved organic matter in a contaminated environment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 8548–8553.
- Morel, F.M.M., Kraepiel, A.M.L., Amyot, M., 1998. The chemical cycle and bioaccumulation of mercury. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Systemat. 29, 543–566.
- Orihel, D.M., Paterson, M.J., Blanchfield, P.J., Bodaly, R.A., Drew), Hintelmann, H., 2007. Experimental evidence of a linear relationship between inorganic mercury loading and methylmercury accumulation by aquatic biota. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 4952–4958.
- O'Driscoll, N.J., Rencz, A., Lean, D.R.S., 2005. The biogeochemistry and fate of mercury in the environment. Met. Ions Biol. Syst. 43, 221–238.
- O'Driscoll, N.J., et al., 2018. Mercury photooxidation in lakes: effects of filtration and dissolved organic carbon concentration. J. Environ. Sci. 68, 151–159.
- Rocha, J.C., et al., 2000. Reduction of mercury(II) by tropical river humic substances (Rio Negro)—a possible process of the mercury cycle in Brazil. Talanta 53, 551–559.
- Rolfhus, K.R., Fitzgerald, W.F., 2001. The evasion and spatial/temporal distribution of mercury species in Long Island Sound, CT-NY. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta 65, 407–418.
- Schroeder, W.H., Munthe, J., 1998. Atmospheric mercury-An overview. Atmos. Environ. 32, 809–822.
- Skyllberg, U., 2008. Competition among thiols and inorganic sulfides and polysulfides for Hg and MeHg in wetland soils and sediments under suboxic conditions: illumination of controversies and implications for MeHg net production. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 113, G00C03.
- Skyllberg, U., Bloom, P.R., Qian, J., Lin, C.M., Bleam, W.F., 2006. Complexation of mercury(II) in soil organic matter: EXAFS evidence for linear two-coordination with reduced sulfur groups. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40, 4174–4180.
- Tseng, C.M., Lamborg, C., Fitzgerald, W.F., Engstrom, D.R., 2004. Cycling of dissolved elemental mercury in Aritic Alaskan lakes. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta 68, 1173–1184.
- Wang, J.F., et al., 2016. Effects of seasonal hypoxia on the release of phosphorus from sediments in deep-water ecosystem: a case study in Hongfeng Reservoir, Southwest China. Environ. Pollut. 219, 858–865.
- Wollenberg, J.L., Peters, S.C., 2009. Mercury emission from a temperate lake during autumn turnover. Sci. Total Environ. 407, 2909–2918.

Xiao, Z.F., Stromberg, D., Lindqvist, O., 1995. Influence of humic substances on photolysis of divalent mercury in aqueous solution. Water Air Soil Pollut. 80, 789–798.

Zhang, H., 2006. Photochemical redox reactions of mercury. Struct. Bond 120, 37–79.

Zheng, W., Hintelmann, H., 2009. Mercury isotope fractionation during

photoreduction in natural water is controlled by its Hg/DOC ratio. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta 73 (22), 6704–6715.

Zhu, Y., et al., 2012. Phosphorus fractions and bioavailability in relation to particle size characteristics in sediments from Hongfeng Lake, Southwest China. Environ. Earth Sci. 68 (4), 1041–1052.