ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Geochronological and geochemical comparison of Precambrian meta-mafic volcanics in Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan Regions in Shanxi, North China Craton

Baishun Shi^{1,2} · Jianzhong Liu^{2,5} · Yongquan Li³ · Kai Zhu² · Li Zhang² · Junhao Hu⁶ · Jingwen Liu^{2,4,5}

Received: 19 November 2020/Revised: 16 March 2021/Accepted: 24 March 2021 © Science Press and Institute of Geochemistry, CAS and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract To unravel the geochemical heterogeneity and its origin in different terranes of North China, we conducted geochronological and geochemical analyses of the meta-mafic rocks from the Lüliang-Zhongtiao rift zone (Shanxi Province). LA-ICP-MS zircon U-Pb dating yielded mostly End-Neoarchean to Proterozoic ages for the basement rocks (Sushui Complex: 2516 ± 26 Ma; Metamafic rocks: 2494 ± 31 Ma), Jiangxian Group (\sim 2213 Ma), Zhongtiao Group (2077 \pm 29 Ma), Jiehekou Group (1998 \pm 23 Ma), and Lüliang Group $(2152 \pm 52 \text{ Ma})$. Petrographic characteristics show that the meta-mafic rocks from the Neoarchean-Paleoproterozoic Zhongtiaoshan (Sushui Complex) have similar geochemical characteristics to the overlying Jiangxian and Zhongtiao Groups. The Paleoproterozoic Lüliang and

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11631-021-00471-5.

Jianzhong Liu liujianzhong@mail.gyig.ac.cn

- ¹ College of Earth Sciences, Jilin University, Changchun 130061, China
- ² Center for Lunar and Planetary Sciences, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550081, China
- ³ School of Earth Science and Resources, China University of Geosciences, Beijing 100083, China
- ⁴ College of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- ⁵ Center for Excellence in Comparative Planetology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230026, China
- ⁶ College of Urban and Rural Planning and Architectural Engineering, Guiyang University, Guiyang 550005, China

Yejishan Group meta-mafic rocks from Lüliangshan also have similar geochemical characteristics but are geochemically different from similar-age rocks from Zhongtiaoshan. This shows that the late-stage rocks have a geochemical inheritance from the early-stage rocks in the same region and that the geochemical heterogeneity of rocks from different areas was originated from the inherited heterogeneity of the magma source.

Keywords Geochemical heterogeneity · Geochronological and geochemical · Meta-mafic rocks · Lüliang–Zhongtiao rift zone · Neoarchean–Paleoproterozoic

1 Introduction

Modern geological, geochemical and geophysical studies indicate distinct horizontal and vertical heterogeneities in mantle chemistry and isotopes, which likely exist since the Archean (Jahn et al. 1987). For instance, in the North Atlantic Archean craton Eoarchean (3.8-3.7 Ga) (Garbenschiefer amphibolites) arc meta-mafic rocks from the southern and northern terranes of the Isua greenstone belt are geochemically different, in which those from the northern terrane contains higher Y/Tb, Sr/Nd, and Ba/La, but lower La/Yb ratio than their southern counterpart (Polat and Hofmann 2003; Hanika et al. 2011, 2013; Oneil et al. 2016). In the Pilbara craton (Western Australia), metamafic rocks from the East Pilbara terrane (EP) and West Pilbara Superterrane (WPS) are also geochemically heterogeneous, with the EP rocks having higher and morevaried Sr/Nd ratios, but lower La/Yb and Nb/Yb ratios (Gruau et al. 1987; Smithies et al. 2004; Smithies et al. 2005a; Sun and Hickman 1999; Arndt et al. 2001). Similarly, the widely-exposed Precambrian meta-mafic rocks in

the North China craton (Fig. 1) also contain distinct geochemical heterogeneity: the southern of the Trans-North China Orogen (Lengkou, Ruzhou, Zhongtiaoshan, and Lushan) generally contain higher and wider-range Y/Tb, Sm/Nd, Nb/La, Th/La, Ba/La, and Sr/Nd ratios than the northern of the Trans-North China Orogen (e.g., Chongli and Lüliangshan) (Liu 2000).

The North China Craton has an ancient history of 3.8 billion years. Compared with other cratons in the world, the area of the North China Craton is smaller, but it has experienced complex multi-stage tectonic evolution, recording almost all the major tectonic events in the early development of the Earth. The North China Craton is a natural laboratory for studying geological science and continental evolution (Zhai 2019). The Precambrian tectonic division and tectonic units of the North China Craton have attracted much attention of scholars at home and abroad. Zhang et al. (1998) divided the Early Precambrian basement of the North China Craton into 15 parts, including 9 continental crusts and 6 basement active zones, and considered that the greenstone formation (volcano sedimentary formation with different degrees of metamorphism) is an important material mark of the basement geotectonic division. Zhao et al. (1998, 2005) divided the Precambrian basement of the North China Craton into two blocks: the eastern block and the western block according to the Trans-North China Orogen in the central part of the North China Craton. At about 1.85 Ga, the western block subducted eastward toward to the eastern Block caused continental-(arc-)continental collision and merged to form a unified Early Cambrian basement of the North China Craton. Zhai et al. (2000, 2007) and Zhai (2011) divided the North China Craton into seven major micro-continental blocks (Jiaoliao, qianhuai, Ordos, Jining, Xuchang, Xuhuai, and Alxa) by identifying important ancient tectonic boundaries. They considered that the formation of the North China Craton experienced two stages of cratonization. Faure et al. (2007) divided the Archean basement of the North China Craton into three parts: the Ordos block, the Fuping block and the eastern block, and considered that the convergence of the Precambrian basement of the whole North China Craton was completed by the East-West collision events along the Trans-North China Belt in two stages of ~ 2.1 and ~ 1.9 Ga. Although there have been controversies over the formation mechanism of the Early Precambrian basement of the North China Craton and the age of assembly, there has been a basic consensus that the Precambrian basement of the North China Craton was composed of several pre-existing micro-continental blocks (Xiao and Liu 2015).

To investigate the origin of such regional heterogeneity and provide more evidence for Ouyang Ziyuan's theory of the origin and evolution of the planetary Earth's heterogeneity, we studied the meta-mafic rocks from the



Fig. 1 Tectonic subdivision of the North China Craton (modified after Zhao et al. 2005)

Zhongtiao-Lüliang rift (Shanxi province). The reason to choose this region as the research object was mainly based on the following considerations: (1) both Lüliangshan and Zhongtiaoshan have the same tectonic background, and it can be ruled out that the difference of composition in the rock formation was caused by the different tectonic positions; (2) both regions have Neoarchean basement, and their respective overlying Paleoproterozoic sequences are of similar ages, which avoids the geochemical deviation was caused by time evolution; (3) the whole rift zone has undergone the same metamorphic and deformation processes, which can eliminate the difference of geochemistry of rock formation in different areas was caused by any late-stage geological process. In this way, we can draw a more objective conclusion (Liu and Ouyang 2003).

2 Regional geological background

2.1 Zhongtiaoshan metamorphic supracrustal rocks

Zhongtiaoshan metamorphic supracrustal rocks are located in southern part of the Central Orogenic Belt (North China Craton). Precambrian stratigraphy is distributed in a NNEtrend, and comprises (from bottom up) Neoarchean–Paleoproterozoic Sushui Complex, Paleoproterozoic Jiangxian, Zhongtiao, and Danshanshi Groups, together with the overlying Mesoproterozoic Xiyanghe Group (Fig. 2). The Sushui Complex is situated in the northwestern side of Zhongtiaoshan. It represents the oldest lithologic unit there, and is in unconformable contact with the overlying Paleoproterozoic/Mesoproterozoic sequences (Zhang et al. 2013). The complex contains mainly tonalite-trondhjemite-granodiorite (TTG) gneiss, metamorphosed calcalkaline granites and subalkaline granites, together with meta-volcanics plus minor meta-supracrustal rock inclusions and meta-mafic sheeted dikes (Sun and Hu 1993; Zhang et al. 2003). The Jiangxian Group occurs as wedgeshaped in the eastern side of the Sushui Complex (northern section). Its lower part (Henglingguan Subgroup) and upper part (Tongkuangyu Subgroup) are featured by clastic sedimentary rocks and volcanic-volcaniclastic rocks, respectively. The Henglingguan Subgroup is in angular unconformity with both the underlying Sushui Complex and the overlying Tongkuangyu Subgroup (Zhao 2013; Liu et al. 2015). The Zhongtiao Group is a suite of meta-carbonate sequences, and contains minor metamorphosed volcanic and clastic rocks. It displays also angular unconformable contact with the underlying Jiangxian Group and Sushui Complex. Danshanshi Group is a suite of metaglutenite sequence, and overlies the Jiangxian and Zhongtiao Groups along an angular unconformity, and underlies the Xiyanghe Group along an angular or parallel unconformity (Liu et al. 2015). In the Zhongtiaoshan region, the Xiyanghe (also called Xiong'er) Group contains mainly a suite of volcanic (mainly intermediate and minor mafic and felsic)-sedimentary formation (Li et al. 2008), and underlies the Ruyang Group siltstone along a lowangle unconformity (Liu et al. 2007).



Fig. 2 Geological sketch map of the Zhongtiaoshan region (modified after Zhang et al. 2013)

2.2 Lüliangshan metamorphic supracrustal rocks

The Lüliangshan metamorphic supracrustal rocks are located in the middle part of the Central Orogenic Belt and comprise (from bottom-up) the Jiehekou, Lüliang, Yejishan, and Lanxian Groups (Fig. 3). Alternatively, some studies considered that these groups were formed at similar time and have no vertical stratigraphic relations, i.e., they have been structurally juxtaposed together or are of different coevally-deposited facies (Hou et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2007). The Jiehekou Group is located in the NW of the Lüliang Complex, and has a NNE-SSE-trending distribution that extends across the Lüliang region from Jiaoloushen and Aojiatan (Xing county) to the Hangaoshan in the east. The group is also locally exposed at Xiyupi-Dongshe (Wenshui county) and Mijiazhuang (Jiaocheng county). The Jiehekou Group comprises mainly a suite of garnet-sillimanite-bearing two-mica schist in a graphitic marble, quartzite, leptynite and plagioclase amphibolite assemblage (Tian et al. 2014). The Lüliang Group has a generally NS-trending distribution in the central part of around Jinzhouying-Huanggushan-Luojiacha Lüliang, (Loufan county). Rocks of the Lüliang Group have generally experienced lower greenschist- to amphibolite-facies metamorphism. Its lower part (Yuanjiacun and Peijiazhuang Formations) contains mainly sedimentary sequences, whilst the Jinzhouying and Dujiagou Formations of its upper part contain meta-basalt and meta-rhyolite, respectively (Wang 2014; Guo 2015). Yu et al. (1997, 2004) considered that the Jinzhouying and Dujiagou Formation volcanics constitute a bimodal volcanic assemblage. The Yejishan Group is located in the NW of the Lüliang Complex. Its exposure is the widest at around Yejishan, and is in ductile shear contact with the Jiehekou Group to the west. The group comprises a terrigenous clastic-volcanic suite and experienced greenschist-facies metamorphism (Liu et al. 2009). The Lanxian (or Heichashan) Group is generally considered to belong to the Yejishan Group and represents a suite of coarse-grained



Fig. 3 Geological map of the Lüliangshan (modified after Liu et al. 2013)

glutenite at the top part of the Yejishan Group (Liu et al. 2013).

3 Sampling locations and petrographic features

In this study, we collected six meta-mafic rock samples from the various groups in the Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan region and performed geochemical analysis and LA-ICP-MS zircon U–Pb dating on all the samples.

3.1 Meta-mafic rocks (Sushui Complex)

We sampled the Sushui Complex meta-mafic rocks from Xilengkoushan and Wenjiapo (Xia county). The Xilengkoushan meta-mafic rock sample (17XLKS; $35^{\circ}25'59.42''$ N, 111°29'37.42''E) is dark grey, massive, partly schistose-deformed. Under the microscope, the sample shows porphyroblastic granular texture and has mainly amphibole (~ 70%) and plagioclase (~ 30%). The sample is thus classified as a plagioclase amphibolite (Fig. 4). The Wenjiapo meta-mafic rock sample (17WJP) is collected next to the Wenjiapo 836 county highway (N35°04'39.39'', E111°18'51.90''). The rocks appear dark grey in the field. Under the microscope, the sample contains mainly amphibole (~ 65%) and plagioclase (~ 30%), together with minor quartz (~ 5%) (Fig. 4). The sample is classified as plagioclase amphibolite by its mineral assemblage.

3.2 Jiangxian Group meta-mafic rocks

The meta-mafic rock sample (17-JXQ) was collected from the Tong'ao Formation (Jiangxian Group), SW of the intersection between the Yuanqu smelter and the railway ($35^{\circ}21'22.40''N$, $111^{\circ}36'39.79''E$). The rocks are dark brown, massive, and hydrothermal altered. The rock contains mainly plagioclase (~ 65%), amphibole (~ 30%), and together with minor quartz (~ 5%), the amphibole occurred chloritization and the plagioclase occurred sericitization and is classified as plagioclase amphibolite (Fig. 5).

3.3 Zhongtiao Group meta-mafic rocks

Meta-mafic rock sample (17-ZTQ) of the bottom Bizigou Formation was collected from the SE of the quarry at Hujiayu (Beiyu) $(35^{\circ}13'35.56''N, 111^{\circ}32'43.22''E)$. In the field, the rocks are dark brown/green and massive. Under the microscope, amphibole occurs as interstitial among plagioclase grains, and both minerals are intense altered. The sample contains mainly plagioclase (~ 65%) and amphibole (~ 35%), and is classified as plagioclase amphibolite (Fig. 6).

3.4 Jiehekou Group meta-mafic rocks

Meta-mafic rock sample (17-JHK) of the Yuanziping Formation (lower Jiehekou Group) was collected from near Yuanziping along the highway Yangpo reservoir—Hejiawan (Lin county) (N38°09'55.32", E111°15'38.78"). The rocks in the field are generally dark grey and folded. Under the microscope, the sample shows holocrystalline texture, and contains mainly amphibole (~ 90%) and muscovite (~ 5%), and ~ 5% accessory minerals. The sample is classified as amphibolite (Fig. 7).

3.5 Lüliang Group meta-mafic rocks

Meta-mafic rock sample (17-HGS) of the Jinzhouying Formation (Lüliang Group) was collected from ~ 1 km NNE of Huanggushan (Loufan, Taiyuan city) (38°03'57.59"N, 111°32'15.60"E). Rocks in the field appear generally dark grey. Under the microscope, the phenocrysts are mainly plagioclase (about 1 mm), with fine pyroxene microcrystals. Alteration of the pyroxene phenocrysts is relatively intense, plagioclase content more than 50%. Subvolcanic rocks have a structure similar to dikes. The sample is classified as metabasalt (Fig. 8).

4 Analysis methods

For the six meta-mafic rock samples collected, whole-rock major and trace element analyses were performed at the State Key Laboratory of Ore Deposit Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Major element analysis used the XRF method, with analytical precision better than 10%. Trace element [incl. rare earth element (REE)] analysis used the ICP-MS method analysis, with analytical precision better than 5% and detailed analytical procedures as described by Qi et al. (2000). Single-mineral separation of zircon was conducted at the Hebei Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources (BGMR), whilst the zircon mounting, transmitted-/reflected-light microscopic observations and cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging, and U-Pb dating were all performed at the Wuhan Sample Solution Co. Ltd. (Wuhan, China). The zircon U-Pb dating was performed with an Agilent 7700 ICP-MS coupled with a GeoLasPro 193 nm laser ablation system. Detailed analytical conditions and procedures are as described by Liu et al. (2008, 2010). Data processing was performed with the ICPMS DataCal software and common Pb correction with the software by Andersen (2002). Age calculation and Concordia diagram production was done with Isoplot3 (Ludwig 2003).



Fig. 4 Field photos and thin-section photomicrographs of the samples from the Sushui Complex meta-mafic rocks: a features of the meta-mafic rocks of the Xilengkou-Sushui complex; b features of the meta-mafic rocks of the Wenjiapo-Sushui complex; c, d photomicrographs of sample 17XLKS; e, f photomicrographs of sample 17WJP c, e plane polarized light; d, f cross polarized light. Abbreviations: *HBL* hornblende, *Pl* plagioclase, *Px* pyroxene, *Am* amphibole, *Ser* sericite

5 Analysis results

5.1 Whole-rock geochemical features

Analytical results for major and trace elements for six rock samples are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Since REE and high-field-strength element (HFSE) contents in rocks can remain stable under amphibolite- (or even granulite-) facies conditions (Wang et al. 2015), our

geochemical descriptions and discussion would focus mainly on REEs and HFSEs.

In the Zr/TiO₂*0.0001 versus Nb/Y petrological discrimination diagram (Fig. 9), most of the Lüliangshan meta-mafic rock samples (Jiehekou, Lüliang, and Yejishan Groups) fall inside the basalt field; A few samples from Zhongtiaoshan (Sushui Complex, Jiangxian and Zhongtiao Group) fall inside the andesite or basaltic andesite fields, but most samples fall inside the basalt field. In the La–Yb



Fig. 5 Field photos and thin-section photomicrographs of the samples from the Jiangxian Group meta-mafic rocks: **a**, **b** features of the metamafic rocks of the Jiangxian Group; **c** plane polarized light; **d** cross polarized light

binary diagram (Fig. 9), among the Jiehekou Group samples one is calc-alkaline, and the rest are tholeiitic-transitional; one Lüliang Group sample is tholeiitic-transitional, and the rest are calc-alkaline; all Yejishan Group samples are calc-alkaline. For the samples from Zhongtiaoshan, the Sushui Complex samples are mainly calc-alkaline and minor tholeiitic-transitional; the Jiangxian Group samples are mainly tholeiitic-transitional, and two are calc-alkaline; the Zhongtiao Group samples are mainly tholeiitic-transitional, and two are calc-alkaline.

In the chondrite-normalized REE diagram (Fig. 10), all meta-mafic rock samples from Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan display slight LREE enrichments and flat HREE (i.e., gentle right-inclining REE) distribution patterns with no distinct Eu anomalies. As shown in Fig. 10, \sum REE of the Lüliangshan samples gradually increases from the Jiehekou Group (36.17–99.23 ppm), through the Lüliang Group (55.25–93.68 ppm) to the Yejishan Group (118.89–232.81 ppm); the (La/Yb)_N and (La/Sm)_N ratios also increase gradually, i.e., 1.10–4.41 and 0.84–2.26 (Jiehekou Group), 3.41–6.43 and 1.69–2.37 (Lüliang Group), and 5.17–10.04 and 2.21–3.80 (Yejishan Group), respectively. For the Zhongtiaoshan samples, the \sum REE

Sushui Complex also increase gradually from (64.19–127.85 ppm), through Jiangxian Group (44.61–140.1 ppm) Zhongtiao to Group (83.16-165.61 ppm). The $(\text{La/Yb})_{N}$ and $(\text{La/Sm})_{N}$ ratios gradually decrease, respectively, from 2.54 to 14.83 and 1.75-3.29 (Sushui Complex), through 1.52-7.00 and 1.47-2.56 (Jiangxian Group), to 2.52-4.48 and 1.54-2.40 (Zhongtiao Group). In the primitive mantle-normalized multi-element diagram (Fig. 11), all the Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan meta-mafic rock samples show various degrees of depletions in HFSEs such as Nb, Ta, and Ti.

5.2 Zircon U-Pb ages

Zircons from the Xilengkoushan meta-mafic rock sample (17-XLKS-6-7) are mainly colorless, granular (size: $40-150 \mu$ m). CL reflectance is weak and most zircons developed relatively weak igneous oscillatory zoning (Fig. 12). This, and the relatively high zircon Th/U ratio (0.53–6.09), suggests a magmatic origin for the zircons (Wu and Zheng 2004). LA-ICP-MS zircon analysis results are shown in Supplementary Table 2 and Fig. 13. For the 23 spots analyzed, 9 data points have relatively low



Fig. 6 Field photos and thin-section photomicrographs of the samples from the Zhongtiao Group meta-mafic rocks: \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} features of the meta-mafic rocks of the Zhongtiao Group; \mathbf{c} plane polarized light; \mathbf{d} cross polarized light

concordance (< 90%) and are thus discarded, and the remaining 14 data points are valid. In the zircon U–Pb concordia diagram, most data points fall on or close to the concordia, yielded a weighted average age of 2519 ± 30 Ma (MSWD = 0.85). A few zircons show Pb loss, with their data points fall below the concordia and along the discordia line. Upper intercept of the concordia and discordia line occurs at 2516 ± 26 Ma (MSWD = 1.5), which represents the rock formation age.

Zircons from the Wenjiapo meta-mafic rock sample (17-WJP-6) are mainly colorless, long columnar or granular (size: 100–160 μ m). Although the zircon Th/U ratios are relatively low (0.01–0.10), the very weak CL reflectance and the relatively weak igneous oscillatory zoning still point to a magmatic origin (Wu and Zheng 2004). For the 25 spots analyzed, 15 data points have relatively low concordance (< 90%) and are thus discarded, and the remaining 10 data points are valid. In the zircon U–Pb concordia diagram, a few zircons fall on or near the concordia, whereas most zircons show Pb loss and fall below the concordia and along the discordia line. Upper intercept of the concordia and discordia line occurs at

 2494 ± 31 Ma (MSWD = 0.22) (Fig. 13), which represents the rock formation age.

Zircons from the Jiangxian Group meta-mafic rock sample (17-JXQ-6) are mainly colorless, and granular or long columnar (size: 70-150 µm). The zircons can be divided into two groups based on CL imaging: (1) those with weak CL reflectance and weak igneous oscillatory zoning, very high Th (1033–4316 ppm) and U (441–2377 ppm) contents, and Th/U > 1.6, which imply a magmatic origin (Wu and Zheng 2004); (2) those with strong CL reflectance, weak/no igneous oscillatory zoning, relatively low Th (0.017–160 ppm) and U (96.3–475 ppm), and most Th/U ratios < 0.01, which imply a metamorphic origin. For the weak CL reflectance zircons (n = 20), 8 data points were discarded due to large error, and the remaining 12 data points show Pb loss. Zircon 17-JXQ-6-06 (²⁰⁷⁻ Pb/²⁰⁶Pb age = 2766 \pm 48 Ma) is likely an inherited zircon, and the remaining 11 data points form a discordia line. The line forms an upper intercept with the concordia curve at 2213 ± 130 Ma (MSWD = 3.0), representing the rock formation age. For the strong CL reflectance zircons (n = 5), all data points fall close to the concordia. Upper intercept of the concordia and discordia line occurs at



Fig. 7 Field photos and thin-section photomicrographs of the samples from the Jiehekou Group meta-mafic rocks: **a**, **b** features of the metamafic rocks of the Jiehekou Group; **c** plane-polarized light; **d** cross-polarized light

 1877 ± 37 Ma (MSWD = 0.92), representing the rock metamorphic age (Fig. 13).

Zircons from the Zhongtiao Group meta-mafic rock sample (17-ZTQ-6) are mostly long columnar (size: 50–150 µm). CL reflectance is very weak, and some zircons developed relatively weak igneous oscillatory zoning, and some zircons are homogenous with no zoning features. Th (up to 3693 ppm) and U (up to 1684 ppm) contents are very high, and the high Th/U (0.47–2.35) ratios imply a magmatic origin (Wu and Zheng 2004). All the 24 spots analyzed show Pb loss, and fall below the concordia and along the discordia line. Upper intercept of the concordia and discordia line occurs at 2077 ± 29 Ma (MSWD = 1.18), which represents the rock formation age (Fig. 13).

Zircons from the Jiehekou Group meta-mafic rock sample (17-JHK-8) are mainly colorless and granular (size: 50–130 μ m). For the 24 spots analyzed, most zircon have 0.90–8.31 ppm Th and 15.4–94.8 ppm U, and Th/U ratios of 0.01–0.24. Spot 17-ZTQ-8-11 has 75.9 ppm Th, 118 ppm U, Th/U = 0.64, and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb age of 2340 ± 37 Ma, which may represent an inherited zircon. The remaining 23 zircons yielded ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb weighted

average age of 1998 ± 23 Ma (MSWD = 0.69), representing the rock formation age (Fig. 14).

Zircons from the Lüliang Group meta-mafic rock sample (17-HGS-8) are mainly colorless and granular (size: 50-170 µm). The CL reflectance is very weak. Some zircons developed relatively weak igneous oscillatory zoning, whilst some others are homogenous with no zoning features. The zircons have relatively high Th/U ratios (0.58–0.93), which point to a magmatic origin (Wu and Zheng 2004). Among the 21 spots analyzed, spot 17-HGS-8-07 is discarded due to its low concordance (< 90%), spot 17-HGS-8-05 shows Pb loss and lies below the concordia, and spot 17-HGS-8-19 (207 Pb/ 206 Pb age = 2294 ± 33 Ma) is likely an inherited zircon. The remaining 18 data points can be divided into two groups: a younger group (n = 13)²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb vielded weighted average age of 1776 ± 17 Ma (MSWD = 0.17); and an older group (n = 5) yielded ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb weighted average age of 2152 ± 52 Ma (MSWD = 1.6), which likely represent rock formation age (Fig. 14).



Fig. 8 Field photos and thin-section photomicrographs of the samples from the Lüliang Group meta-mafic rocks: **a**, **b** features of the meta-mafic rocks of the Lvliang Group; **c** plane polarized light; **d** cross polarized light

6 Discussion

6.1 Formation age

6.1.1 Sushui Complex

Formation age of the Lengkou meta-volcanics has long been disputed: Using the single zircon Pb evaporation technique, Kröner et al. (1989) reported 2521 ± 3 Ma (average zircon ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb age) for the Lengkou metadacitic tuff. Subsequently, Sun (1991) and Sun and Hu (1993) reported Paleoproterozoic ion probe (2333 \pm 5 Ma) and Sm–Nd isochron (2497 \pm 51 Ma) ages for the Lengkou meta-volcanics. Zhao (2006) used single grain zircon U-Pb method and SHRIMP to re-date the Lengkou metadacitic tuff, which yielded ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb weighted average ages of 2360 ± 62 Ma (2σ) and 2333 ± 5 Ma (2σ) , respectively. Zhang (2015) yielded Neoarchean LA-ICP-MS zircon U-Pb age (2561 \pm 22 Ma) for the Lengkou Formation supracrustal plagioclase amphibolite from Xilengkoushan (Jiang county). In this study, we LA-ICP-MS zircon U-Pb dated the Lengkou meta-mafic volcanic and yielded ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb weighted average age of 2519 ± 30 Ma (MSWD = 0.85) and upper intercept age of 2516 ± 26 Ma (MSWD = 1.5), which is consistent (within error) with the age reported by Kröner et al. (1989). Therefore, the Lengkou meta-volcanic were likely formed in the Neoarchean.

In this study, we LA-ICP-MS zircon U–Pb dated also the meta-mafic rocks from Wenjiapo, and obtained an upper intercept age of 2494 ± 31 Ma (MSWD = 0.22), representing the rock formation age. Integrated with published ages on the Lengkou meta-volcanic, the Sushui Complex meta-mafic rocks may have formed in ca. 2560-2490 Ma.

6.1.2 Jiangxian Group

Formation age of the Jiangxian Group has long been disputed. Xu et al. (1994) considered that it was formed in the Neoarchean. Sun et al. (1991) performed SHRIMP U–Pb dating on the Tongkuangyu Formation (Jiangxian Group) meta-rhyolitic tuff, which yielded three groups of ages 2115 ± 6 Ma (n = 14), 2530 ± 3 Ma (n = 10) and 2770 ± 16 Ma (n = 1). Afterward, Sun and Hu (1993) reported single-grain zircon U–Pb age of 2166 ± 1 Ma on



Fig. 9 Zr/TiO₂*0.0001-Nb/Yb and La-Yb plots for the Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan mafic rock samples. Base maps are from Wang et al. (2015). Some Zhongtiaoshan data are from Zhang (2015), Zhao (2006), Liu (2000), and Yang et al. (2015). Some Lüliangshan data are from Zhang (2017), Liu et al. (2012a), Zhao et al. (2017), Wang (2014), Data source in the following figures is the same

the meta-rhyolitic tuff from the Tongkuangyu ore district, whilst Zhao (2006) reported SHRIMP zircon U-Pb age $(2273 \pm 18 \text{ Ma})$ on the Tongkuangyu Formation metarhyolite. Li et al. (2013) reported zircon U-Pb age $(2117 \pm 13 \text{ Ma})$ on the Tongkuangyu meta-quartz monzonite porphyry, whilst Liu et al. (2015) reported SIMS zircon U–Pb age (upper intercept age: 2189 ± 120 Ma, albeit large error) on the interbedded plagioclase amphibolite from the Tong'ao Formation (Jiangxian Group). Yang et al. (2015) reported SHRIMP zircon U-Pb weighted average age (2179 \pm 7 Ma) for the Luotuofeng Formation metamorphic quartz porphyry at Tongkuangyu, and 2142 ± 11 Ma (²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb weighted average age) on the Shujinggou Formation meta-felsic volcanics. In this study, we obtained LA-ICP-MS zircon U-Pb ages on the emplacement $(\sim 2213 \text{ Ma})$ and metamorphism (\sim 1877 Ma) of the Tong'ao Formation meta-mafic rock, which (integrated with published ages) constrain the formation of the Jiangxian Group to be around 2210-2140 Ma.

6.1.3 Zhongtiao Group

Formation age of the Zhongtiao Group is yet to be accurately constrained (Yang et al. 2018). Sun and Hu (1993) reported single-grain zircon U-Pb age (2059 \pm 5 Ma) on the hornblende leptynite from the bottom Bizigou Formation (Zhongtiao Group). Liu et al. (2015) reported SIMS zircon U-Pb age (upper intercept age: 2086 ± 68 Ma) on the plagioclase amphibolite from the contact between the Bizigou and Yuyuanxia Formations. Yang et al. (2018) reported zircon ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb weighted average age $(2139 \pm 10 \text{ Ma})$ on the felsic volcanics from Bizigou, and considered the bottom Zhongtiao Group to have formed around 2140 Ma. This study yielded LA-ICP-MS zircon U–Pb age (2077 \pm 29 Ma) on the meta-mafic rocks from the bottom Bizigou Formation, which is basically consistent (within error) with the ages reported by Sun and Hu (1993) and Liu et al. (2015), indicating that the Zhongtiao Group was formed in the middle Paleoproterozoic.



Fig. 10 Chondrite-normalized REE patterns for the meta-mafic rocks from Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan. Chondrite-normalizing values are from Sun and McDonough (1989)

6.1.4 Jiehekou Group

Formation age of the Jiehekou Group is still controversial. Geng et al. (2000) obtained Sm–Nd isotope ages (2.6–2.4 Ga) on the Jiehekou Group meta-sandy mudstone. Liu et al. (2001) obtained Paleoproterozoic whole-rock Sm–Nd isochron age (2425 \pm 237 Ma) on the Jiehekou Group meta-mafic volcanics (plagioclase amphibolite, twopyroxene granulite). Wan et al. (2000) reported zircon U– Pb ages (2.3–2.2 Ga) on the Jiehekou Group meta-clastic rocks. In recent years, Liu et al. (2013) obtained detrital zircon U–Pb ages (2.0–1.85 Ga) on the Aojiatan Formation (Jiehekou Group) meta-sedimentary rocks. Guo (2015) yielded two groups of metamorphic ages (zircon U–Pb age: 1945 \pm 20 and 1928 \pm 25 Ma) on the mafic granulite from Xiyupi. Xiao et al. (2017) reported also two groups of metamorphic ages (zircon U-Pb age: 1935-1920 and 1860-1815 Ma) on the mafic granulite and amphibolite from Dishan. Yang et al. (2018) reported SHRIMP zircon U-Pb ages (2.1-1.95 Ga) on the Jiehekou Group banded garnet-biotite-plagiogranite gneiss. Our reported LA-ICP- 207 Pb/ 206 Pb weighted MS zircon average age $(1998 \pm 23 \text{ Ma})$ on the lower Yuanziping Formation (Jiehekou Group) meta-mafic rocks (MSWD = 0.69), which (together with published ages) constrained the Jiehekou Group formation to 2.0-1.95 Ga.



Fig. 11 Primitive mantle-normalized multi-element diagrams for the meta-mafic rocks from Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan. Chondritenormalizing values are from Sun and McDonough (1989)

6.1.5 Lüliang Group

Formation age of the Lüliang Group is still disputed, Yu et al. (1997) obtained single-grain zircon U-Pb ages of 2051 ± 68 and 2097 ± 29 Ma, respectively, for the Jinzhouying Formation meta-basalts and Dujiagou Formation meta-rhyolite. Geng et al. (2000) yielded zircon U-Pb age (2360 \pm 95 Ma) on the Jinzhouying Formation intermediate-felsic volcanic tuff (among mafic volcanics), and Sm-Nd isochron age $(2351 \pm 56 \text{ Ma})$ on the Jinzhouying Formation mafic volcanics. Du et al. (2012) reported two SHRIMP U-Pb ages on the Dujiagou Formation meta-felsic volcanics (2186 ± 3) and 2189 ± 6 Ma). Using zircon U–Pb dating, Liu et al. (2012a) yielded emplacement age (2213 \pm 47 Ma) and metamorphic age (1832 \pm 56 Ma) on the meta-mafic volcanics from SSW of Jinzhouying. Liu et al. (2014a) obtained zircon U-Pb ages for the Jinzhouving meta-volcanics (2209 \pm 20 Ma) and Dujiagou Formation metavolcanics (2196 \pm 8 Ma), whilst Zhao et al. (2017) reported LA-ICP-MS zircon U–Pb age of 2180 \pm 19 Ma on the Jinzhouving meta-mafic volcanics from near Liangjiazhuang. Based on the metamorphic gabbro (zircon U–Pb: 2530 \pm 10 Ma (SHRIMP) and 2518 \pm 7 Ma (LA-ICP-MS)) that intruded the Yuanjiacun Formation, Wang et al. (2020) considered the Lüliang Group to have formed in the late Neoarchean. Our reported Jinzhouying Formation meta-mafic rock age (zircon ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb weighted average age: 2152 ± 52 Ma) is consistent (within error) with the reported ages by Du et al. (2012) and Zhao et al. (2017), and may represent the rock formation age. The ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb younger weighted average age



Fig. 12 Zircon CL images for the meta-mafic rock samples from Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan

 $(1776 \pm 17 \text{ Ma})$ is consistent (within error) with the reported metamorphic age (1806 Ma) by Yu et al. (1997). Integrated with published ages, we considered that the Lüliang Group has erupted in 2.2–2.1 Ga.

6.2 Petrogenetic origin and tectonic setting

The meta-mafic rock samples all display gently right-inclining REE patterns (slight LREE enrichments and flat HREE patterns). The indistinct LREE/HREE fractionation and Eu and Ce anomalies all indicate insignificant fractionation in the petrogenetic process. In the La versus La/ Sm diagram (Fig. 15), the meta-mafic rock samples show mainly positive correlations, indicating that the main control on elemental features of the meta-mafic rocks is partial melting, and that the crystallization fractionation process was relatively weak.

For the Lüliangshan meta-mafic rock samples, the Jiehekou Group samples have Nb/Ta = 16-39.88 (average 23.69) and Zr/Hf = 9.44-46.46 (average 35.26); the Lüliang Group samples have Nb/Ta = 12.73-19.89 (average 15.94) and Zr/Hf = 36.12-40.40 (average 38.56); the Yejishan Group samples have Nb/Ta = 12.16–37.66 (average 16.23) and Zr/Hf = 34.57–57.51 (average 38.40). For the Zhongtiaoshan meta-mafic rock samples, the Sushui Complex samples have Nb/Ta = 12.90–28.89 (average 17.84) and Zr/Hf = 33.26–42.03 (average 38.27); the Jiangxian Group samples have Nb/Ta = 13.03–24 (average 17.85) and Zr/Hf = 34.38–41.76 (average 36.58); the Zhongtiao Group sample has Nb/Ta = 17.15 and Zr/Hf = 35.37. All the samples have higher Nb/Ta and Zr/Hf ratios than those of the average continental crust (Nb/Ta = 11 and Zr/Hf = 33; Taylor and McLennan 1985), and close to those of the primitive mantle (Nb/Ta = 17.5 \pm 2.0 and Zr/Hf = 36.27 \pm 2.0; Sun and McDonough 1989), suggested limited influence by crustal assimilation.

Based on chondrite-normalized REE patterns and primitive mantle-normalized multi-element patterns of meta-mafic volcanics from various parts of the North China Craton (Wutai, Liaoxi, Liaobei, and Jidong), Wang et al. (2015) divided the Neoarchean meta-mafic volcanics from North China into three groups: (1) tholeiitic series with near-flat REE distribution patterns ((La/Yb)_N = 0.52-2.39). These rocks have no clear Eu anomalies, no



Fig. 13 Zircon U-Pb concordia diagrams of the Zhongtiaoshan meta-mafic rock samples



Fig. 14 Zircon U-Pb concordia diagrams of the Lüliangshan meta-mafic rock samples

Nb, Ta, or Ti depletions, and relatively high $(Nb/La)_N$ (0.87–1.59), resembling typical mid-ocean ridge basalts (MORB); (2) also tholeiitic series and with flat REE distribution patterns ((La/Yb)_N = 0.60–3.99) and no clear Eu anomalies. The major difference with Group 1 is the presence of weak Nb, Ta, and Ti depletions, and their lower (Nb/La)_N (0.04–0.83) but higher (La/Yb)_N ratios, resembling typical island arc tholeiitic basalts (IAT); (3) calc-alkaline series, containing distinct right-inclining REE distribution patterns, and no distinct Eu anomalies. These



Fig. 15 La versus La/Sm diagram of the meta-mafic rock samples in this study

rocks have the highest $(La/Yb)_N$ values (2.51-25.22) than those of Group 1 and 2, and have distinct Nb, Ta and Ti depletions. Their $(Nb/La)_N$ values are relatively low (0.04-0.55), resembling typical calc-alkaline basalts (CAB) in mature island arc systems.

The Jiehekou Group meta-mafic rock samples have similar geochemical features to typical IATs (Wang et al. 2015). In the La/Yb versus Nb/Yb diagram (Fig. 16), the samples have a relatively high La/Yb ratio and fall mostly above the N-MORB and OIB fields minor inside them. In the (Hf/Sm)_N versus (Nb/La)_N diagram (Fig. 17), most samples contain relatively low (Nb/La)_N ratio and chondrite-like (Hf/Sm)_N ratio, indicating that the mantle source region of these samples was modified by replacement processes. The few remaining samples, which fall inside the MORB and OIB fields, have likely had a mantle source region that was free from subduction-related melt/fluid or carbonatitic melt replacement influence (Wang et al. 2015). Liu et al. (2001) reported that the Jiehekou Group metamafic rocks have $\varepsilon_{Nd}(t) = 2.96 \pm 1.8$, indicating a depleted mantle source. Previous studies of the Jiehekou Group have two main views on its tectonic setting, which are active continental margin arc and passive continental margin (Liu et al. 2012a, 2013; Wan et al. 2000; Zhao et al. 2005). Zhao et al. (2005) and Wan et al. (2000) considered that the Jiehekou Group was formed on the passive continental margin of Ordos block according to the similarity of rock assemblage of metamorphic sedimentary rocks between Jiehekou Group and khondalite belt. Liu et al. (2013) inferred that the Jiehekou Group was formed in the passive continental margin basin on the eastern margin of the Ordos block between 2.0-1.85 Ga, and was thrust the Trans-North China Orogen Belt during the collision of the eastern and western continental blocks at ~ 1.85 Ga. Wang et al. (2020) suggested that the Jiehekou Group and its associated metamorphic plutonic rocks might be an exotic terrane that was collaged on the Lüliang complex during the Paleoproterozoic orogenic process. The 1998 ± 23 Ma lower Yuanziping Formation (Jiehekou Group) meta-mafic rocks (MSWD = 0.69) in this study, together with published ages, constrained the Jiehekou Group formation to 2.0-1.95 Ga. These ages do not support the Jiehekou Group to be the Lüliangshan basement. And on the Ti/100-Zr-Y*3 distinguishing diagrams (Fig. 18), the samples of meta-mafic rocks of Lüliang Group and Yejishan Group are mainly plot in the calcalkali basalts field, while those of Jiehekou Group are mainly plot in the low-potassium tholeiites filed. Therefore, we agree with the view that the Jiehekou Group might be an exotic terrane (Wan et al. 2000; Zhao et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2020).

The Lüliang Group meta-mafic rocks have geochemical features that mimic those of calc-alkaline basalts from mature island arc systems (Wang et al. 2015). In the La/Yb-Nb/Yb diagram (Fig. 16), the samples have a relatively high La/Yb ratio and all fall above the N-MORB and OIB field, reflecting their mantle source region was modified by subduction material replacement processes. In the (Hf/Sm)_N versus (Nb/La)_N diagram (Fig. 17), all samples have relatively low (Nb/La)_N ratio and chondrite-like (Hf/Sm)_N



Fig. 16 La/Yb versus Nb/Yb diagrams of the meta-mafic rock samples in this study



Fig. 17 (Hf/Sm)_N-(Nb/La)_N diagrams of the meta-mafic rock samples in this study

ratio, indicating major subduction fluid modification on their mantle source region (Wang et al. 2015). Zhao et al. (2017) reported positive zircon $\varepsilon_{Hf}(t)$ (1.8–9.2) for the

Jinzhouying Formation mafic volcanics, supporting a depleted mantle source. Previous studies of the Lüliang Group have three main views on its tectonic setting, which



Fig. 18 Hf/3-Th-Ta and Ti/100-Zr-Y*3 distinguishing diagrams of the meta-mafic rock samples in this study

are intracontinental rift or continental margin rift, both island arc and rift regimes between 2.2 and 2.0 Ga, active continental margin arc or back-arc basin (Yu et al. 1997; Geng et al. 2003; Du et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2014b, Zhao et al. 2008, 2017). Based on the occurrence of mafic and felsic volcanics in the Lüliang Group, early researchers believe that the Lüliang Group was formed in a rift-related environment (Yu et al.1997; Geng et al.2003). Du et al. (2012) based on the "A-type" granite signatures of the Dujiagou feldspar porphyritic rocks, and proposed that the complexity of magmatic properties in this area may be related to its tectonic location, suggesting that the Paleoproterozoic period of Lüliang area may be located in the margin of the North China Craton, and its magmatic activity may be controlled by both island arc and rift systems. Diabase dyke/vein is the product of continental crust cracking (Zhao et al. 2017), however, except for the diabase (younger than 2100 Ma) was found in the Chijianling gneissic granite in Lüliang area (Wang et al. 2014), no ~ 2.1 Ga diabase dyke/vein has been found in Lüliang area. In recent years, based on the whole-rock geochemistry and petrogenesis, Liu et al. (2014b) and Zhao et al. (2017) proposed that the metabasites of the Lüliang Group were formed in the active continental margin arc or backarc basin environment. In this study, the meta-mafic rock samples from the Lüliang Group are relatively enriched in LILE and depletion of Nb, Ta, and Ti, which display the characteristics of island arc calc-alkali basalts. Moreover, on the Hf/3-Th-Ta and Ti/100-Zr-Y*3 distinguishing diagrams (Fig. 18), these samples mainly plot in the calcalkali basalts filed. Liu et al. (2014a) suggested that there was a set of rock assemblages of adakitic rocks, magnesian andesites, Nb-enriched basalts, and andesites in the Lüliang Group, which also proved that the Lüliang area was in an island arc environment related to ocean subduction at about 2200 Ma. Therefore, we agree more with the view that the Lüliang Group was formed in the tectonic setting of active continental margin arc or back-arc basin (Liu et al. 2014b; Zhao et al. 2017).

The Yejishan Group meta-mafic rocks have also similar geochemical features to those of calc-alkaline basalts from mature island arc systems (Wang et al. 2015). In the La/Yb versus Nb/Yb diagram (Fig. 16), the samples have a relatively high La/Yb ratio, and all fall above the E-MORB and OIB fields. In the (Hf/Sm)_N versus (Nb/La)_N (Fig. 17) diagram, all samples have relatively low (Nb/La)_N ratio and near or below chondritic (Hf/Sm)_N value, indicating that their mantle source region was modified by subduction-derived fluid metasomatic processes (Wang et al. 2015). Liu et al. (2014a) reported positive zircon ε_{Hf} (t) (0.1-5.4) for the plagioclase amphibolite from the Bailongshan Formation (Yejishan Group), supporting a depleted mantle source. For the tectonic environment of the Yejishan Group, Geng et al. (2003) based on the single grain zircon U-Pb age of felsic tuff from Bailongshan Formation, believed that it was formed in the continental rift environment; Liu et al. (2009) believed that the Yejishan Group might be producted in the post-arc tectonic background at a side of the arc. Liu et al. (2011) according to the youngest detrital zircon age of the upper Yejishan Group to limit its oldest sedimentary age to ~ 1.84 Ga, and considered that the Yejishan Group was formed in the foreland basin environment. Wang (2014) studied the geochemical characteristics of basalts from the Bailongshan formation, and concluded that these basalts have the characteristics of both intraplate basalts and continental margin island arc, and then speculated that the Yejishan Group was formed in the back-arc basin environment. In this study, the meta-mafic rock samples from the Yejishan Group are relatively enriched in LILE and depletion of Nb, Ta, and Ti, which display the characteristics of island arc calc-alkali basalts. Moreover, on the Hf/3-Th-Ta and Ti/100-Zr-Y*3 distinguishing diagrams (Fig. 18), these samples mainly plot in the calc-alkali basalts filed. We are more inclined to believe that the Yejishan Group was formed in the compression environment of plate convergence.

For the Zhongtiaoshan meta-mafic rocks, some samples from the Sushui Complex are geochemically similar to calc-alkaline basalts from mature island arc systems, whereas some others have IAT affinity (Wang et al. 2015). In the La/Yb versus Nb/Yb diagram (Fig. 16), the samples contain a relatively high La/Yb ratio, and all fall above the N-MORB and OIB fields. In the (Hf/Sm)_N-(Nb/La)_N diagram (Fig. 17), all samples have relatively low (Nb/La)_N ratio and chondrite-like (Hf/Sm)_N ratio, indicating that their mantle source region was modified by subductionderived fluid metasomatism (Wang et al. 2015). Zhang (2015) reported positive zircon $\varepsilon_{Hf}(t)$ (5.4–7.4) for the Lengkou plagioclase amphibolite, suggestive of a depleted mantle source. At present, the tectonic setting of Sushui complex has been controversial. Tian et al. (2005) thought that the late Archean TTG rocks were a product of island arc magmatic; Zhang (2015) thought that the Lengkou amphibolite was formed in an intraplate environment according to the petrology, geochemistry, and isotope research results of amphibolite in Sushui complex; Yang et al. (2018) speculated that the Lengkou metavolcanic rocks were formed on the paleocontinental margin according to the island arc and collision structure characteristics of metavolcanic rocks; In this study, the metamafic rock samples from the Sushui complex are relatively enriched in LILE and depletion of Nb, Ta, and Ti, which display the characteristics of island arc calc-alkali basalts. Moreover, on the Hf/3-Th-Ta and Ti/100-Zr-Y*3 distinguishing diagrams (Fig. 18), these samples mainly plot in the calc-alkali basalts filed, and the calc-alkaline basalts are common affinity to the island arc environment. To sum up, we prefer that the Sushui complex was formed in the compression environment of plate convergence.

Most Jiangxian Group samples have IAT affinity, and a few samples have CAB affinity (Wang et al. 2015). In the La/Yb–Nb/Yb diagram (Fig. 16), the samples have a relatively high La/Yb ratio, and most samples fall above the N-MORB and OIB fields. In the $(Hf/Sm)_N$ - $(Nb/La)_N$ (Fig. 17) diagram, most samples have relatively low $(Nb/La)_N$ ratio and chondrite-like $(Hf/Sm)_N$ ratio, indicating that their mantle source region was modified by subduction-derived fluid metasomatism (Wang et al. 2015). Sun et al. (1991) reported $\varepsilon_{Nd}(t) = +2.25$ for the Tongkuangyu metamorphic bimodal volcanics (Jiangxian Group), again reflecting a depleted mantle source. Previous studies of the Jiangxian Group have two main views on its tectonic setting, which are intra-continental rift and back-arc basin (Li et al. 2009, 2013; Zhang 2012; Chen et al.2014). In this study, the meta-mafic rock samples from the Jiangxian Group are relatively enriched in LILE and depletion of Nb, Ta, and Ti. Moreover, on the Hf/3-Th-Ta distinguishing diagrams (Fig. 18), these samples mainly plot in the calcalkali basalts and island arc tholeiite basalts filed, and on the Ti/100-Zr-Y*3 distinguishing diagrams (Fig. 18), these samples mainly plot in the calc-alkali basalts filed. Therefore, we are more inclined to the Jiangxian Group was formed in the compression environment of plate convergence.

The Zhongtiao Group samples have largely CAB affinity (Wang et al. 2015). In the La/Yb-Nb/Yb diagram (Fig. 16), the samples contain a relatively high La/Yb ratio, and all fall above the N-MORB and OIB fields. In the (Hf/ $Sm)_N$ -(Nb/La)_N diagram (Fig. 17), the samples have a relatively low (Nb/La)_N ratio and chondrite-like (Hf/Sm)_N ratio, indicating that their mantle source region was modified by subduction-derived fluid metasomatism (Wang et al. 2015). Sun and Hu (1993) obtained ε_{Nd} t) = 2.05-2.374 for the lower Zhongtiao Group meta-mafic volcanics, indicating a depleted mantle source. For the tectonic environment of the Zhongtiao Group, Li et al. (2011) considered that the Zhongtiao Group was deposited in a back-arc basin within an active continental margin setting according to the geochemical characteristics and detrital zircon age of the metamorphic sedimentary rocks. Liu et al. (2012b) considered that the lower Zhongtiao Group was formed in the back-arc basin according to the rock assemblage of metaclastic rocks, carbonates, and metavolcanic rocks, and interpreted the Upper Zhongtiao Group as the product of a retro-arc foreland basin according to metaconglomerates, metasandstones, and their depositional ages. In this study, the meta-mafic rock samples from the Zhongtiao Group are relatively enriched in LILE and depletion of Nb, Ta, and Ti, which display the characteristics of island arc calc-alkali basalts. Moreover, on the Hf/3-Th-Ta and Ti/100-Zr-Y*3 distinguishing diagrams (Fig. 18), these samples mainly plot in the calcalkali basalts filed. So we agree that the Zhongtiao Group was formed in the compression environment of plate convergence.

6.3 Origin of geochemical heterogeneity for mafic rocks from the Lüliang–Zhongtiao rift

6.3.1 Lüliangshan region

Since the ages, we obtained do not support the Jiehekou Group to be the Lüliangshan basement, and thus only the geochemical similarity between the Lüliang and Yejishan Groups is discussed here.

According to the classification scheme of Neoarchean meta-mafic volcanics from the North China Craton by Wang et al. (2015), the Lüliang and Yejishan Group metamafic rocks are all CAB-type, with a depleted mantle source modified by subduction-derived fluid metasomatism. In chondrite-normalized REE diagrams, the Lüliang and Yejishan Group meta-mafic rocks have all gently rightinclining patterns (slight LREE enrichments and flat HREE patterns). With the younging ages of the rocks, the total REE contents and degrees of LREE/HREE fractionation increase gradually. In primitive mantle-normalized multielement diagrams, mafic rocks from both regions all show various enrichments in large ion lithophile elements (LILE; e.g., Rb, K) and LREEs, as well as depletions in radioactive heat-emitting elements (e.g., Th) and HFSEs (e.g., Nb, Ta. and Ti).

6.3.2 Zhongtiaoshan region

In the Sushui Complex of the Zhongtiaoshan basement, CAB-type and IAT-type meta-mafic rocks coexist. The overlying Jiangxian Group meta-mafic rocks show mainly IAT-type and minor CAB-type characters. The younger Zhongtiao Group meta-mafic rocks are dominantly IATtype. Their magmas were likely all sourced from the depleted mantle, which was modified by subductionderived fluid metasomatism. In the chondrite-normalized REE diagrams, meta-mafic rocks from all the Sushui Complex, Jiangxian Group, and Zhongtiao Group have gently right-inclining patterns (slight LREE enrichments and flat HREE patterns). With younging ages of the rocks, the total REE contents increase but the degrees of LREE/ HREE fractionation decrease gradually. In the primitive mantle-normalized multi-element diagrams, the Zhongtiaoshan meta-mafic rocks show similar (albeit different degrees) depletions in Nb, Ta and Ti. The Jiangxian Group samples are enriched in Ba, Rb, and K, but depleted in Th, similar to the underlying Sushui Complex. The Zhongtiao Group meta-mafic rocks show similar Sr depletions to the underlying Sushui Complex. Besides, Sun and Hu (1993) yielded very similar $\epsilon_{Nd}(t)$ values for the Zhongtiao Group (2.05–2.374) and Jiangxian Group (2.247), which are both lower than those of the Lüliang Group (4.0) (Zhang et al. 1988).

Studies on the Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan metamafic rocks reveal that in the Zhongtiaoshan region, the Paleoproterozoic Zhongtiao Group and the underlying Jiangxian Group meta-mafic rocks have similar geochemical characteristics, whilst the Jiangxian Group is also geochemically similar to the Neoarchean–Paleoproterozoic basement meta-volcanics. Similarly in the Lüliangshan region, the Lüliang Group and Yejishan Group meta-mafic volcanics share also similar geochemical characteristics, but are geochemically distinct from the coeval Jiangxian and Zhongtiao Group mafic volcanics in the Zhongtiaoshan region, as demonstrated in immobile element ratios discrimination diagrams such as La/Yb versus Nb/Yb and Ba/ La versus Sr/Nd (Fig. 19). Oxygen isotope study on the North China cratonic nucleus (Ouyang et al. 2002) revealed that the trend lines of the samples collected from the different continental nucleus are close to parallels the evolution line of the Earth-Moon, indicating that the Archean continental nucleus of North China originated from the solar system's material; however, there are some differences in the intercepts of the different continental nucleus, indicating that the oxygen isotopic compositions and evolution trends of the different continental nucleus are different. The Caozhuang complex and the Zunhua-Qinglong greenstone belt in Eastern Hebei Province are formed with an age difference of nearly 0.8 Ga, but the four samples from these two regions form a straight line parallel to the Earth-Moon evolution line, which indicates that the characteristics of oxygen isotope composition have not changed with time. Therefore, they inferred that the differences of oxygen isotopic compositions in the different continental nuclei of the North China Craton are caused by the inheritance of the heterogeneity of isotopic compositions in the regional paleomantle source areas (Ouyang et al. 2002). Considering also our findings on the Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan meta-mafic rocks in this study, we found that the geochemical features of the late-stage magmatic rocks and the early-stage magmatic rocks in the same region are similar, but the geochemical features of rocks in different areas at similar ages are different. Therefore, we speculated that for a particular region, geochemical features of the late-stage magmatic rocks may mainly be inherited from those of their early-stage counterparts, and the geochemical heterogeneity of the rocks in different areas at the same age may be inherited the heterogeneity of the early stage.

7 Conclusions

1. Zircon U–Pb dating show that the Lengkou and Wenjiapo meta-mafic volcanics from the Sushui Complex basement (Zhongtiaoshan) were formed at 2516 ± 26 and 2494 ± 31 Ma, respectively. The overlying Jiangxian Group and Zhongtiao Group meta-mafic volcanics were formed at ~ 2213 and 2077 ± 29 Ma respectively. In the Lüliangshan region, the Jiehekou Group and Lüliang Group meta-mafic volcanics were formed at 1998 ± 23 and 2152 ± 52 Ma, respectively.



Fig. 19 La/Yb versus Nb/Yb and Ba/La versus Sr/Nd diagrams of the meta-mafic rock samples from Zhongtiaoshan and Lüliangshan

- 2. CAB-type and IAT-type meta-mafic rocks coexist in the Sushui Complex. The overlying Jiangxian Group shows mainly IAT and minor CAB affinity, whilst the Zhongtiao Group meta-mafic rocks are dominantly IAT-type. In the Lüliangshan region, the Lüliang Group and Yejishan Group meta-mafic rocks are all CAB-type, with their mafic magma all sourced from the depleted mantle, which was modified by subduction-derived fluid metasomatism.
- 3. Geochemical comparison on the meta-mafic rocks from the Lüliang–Zhongtiao rift reveals that the latestage magmatic rocks probably have inherited the geochemical features of the early-stage rocks from the same region. Geochemical heterogeneity of rocks from different areas is likely inherited from the heterogeneity in the magma source region.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Mo Fanqi for helping with the field work, and Dr. Fan Yan (Northwest University) for the fruitful discussion during the manuscript composition.

Funding This study is supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program (B) of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB18010105), and the Talent start-up fund of Guiyang University (2019039510821).

Availability of data and material Not applicable.Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Andersen T (2002) Correction of common lead in U–Pb analyses that do not report ²⁰⁴Pb. Chem Geol 192(1–2):59–79
- Arndt N, Bruzak G, Reischmann T (2001) The oldest continental and oceanic plateaus: geochemistry of basalts and komatiites of the Pilbara Craton, Australia. Special Paper 352: mantle plumes: their identification through time, pp 359–387
- Chen ZH, Yang YC, Han SJ, Zhang GB (2014) Geochemical characteristics of ore-bearing rock series and ore genesis of Tongkuangyu copper deposit in Zhongtiao mountains. Glob Geol 33(2):348–357 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Du LL, Yang CH, Ren LD, Song HX, Geng YS, Wan YS (2012) The 2.2–2.1 Ga magmatic event and its tectonic implication in the Lüliang Mountains, North China Craton. Acta Petrol Sin 28(9):2751–2769 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Faure M, Trap P, Lin W, Monié P, Bruguier O (2007) The formation of the North China Craton by two Palaeoproterozoic continental collisions in Lüliang-Hengshan-Wutaishan-Fuping massifs. Episodes 30(1):1–12
- Geng YS, Wan YS, Shen QH, Li HM, Zhang RX (2000) Chronological framework of the Early Precambrian important events in the Lüliang area, Shanxi Province. ActaGeol Sin 74(3):216–223 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Geng YS, Wan YS, Yang CH (2003) The Palaeoproterozoic rift-type volcanism in Lüliangshan Area, Shanxi Province, and its geological significance. ActaGeosci Sin 24(2):97–104 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Gruau G, Jahn BM, Glikson AY, Davy R, Hickman AH, Chauvel C (1987) Age of the ArcheanTalga-Talga Subgroup, Pilbara Block, Western Australia, and early evolution of the mantle: new Sm– Nd isotopic evidence. Earth Planet SciLett 85:105–116
- Guo XJ (2015) Paleoproterozoic granulite faces metamorphism for gneisses from Lüliang complex in Xiyupi area and its tectonic significance. Master's dissertation of Northwest University (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Hanika R, Maud B, Janne BT, Minik R (2011) Combined Nd and Hf isotope evidence for deep-seated source of Isua lavas. Earth Planet SciLett 312:267–279
- Hanika R, Maud B, Janne BT, Mink TR (2013) Early mantle dynamics inferred from ¹⁴²Nd variations in Archean rocks from southwest Greenland. Earth Planet SciLett 377–378:324–335
- Hou YS, Zhao GJ, Yang YQ, Ye SS, Liu JZ (2006) Paleo-rift evolution of Lv liang Mountain and ore-forming constraint.

J Jilin Univ (Earth Sci Ed) 36:15–18 (in Chinese with English abstract)

- Jahn BM, Auvray B, Cornichet J, Bai YL, Shen QH, Liu DY (1987) 3.5 Ga old amphibolites from eastern Hebei Province, China: field occurrence, petrography, Sm-Nd isochron age and REE geochemistry. Precam Resea 34(3–4):311–346
- Kroner A, Sun DZ, Hu WX, Todt W (1989) An Early Proterozoic copper-bearing magmatic arc in north-central China. Terra Abstr 1:21
- Li QG, Liu SW, Wang ZQ, Zhang F, Chen YZ, Wang T (2008) LA-ICP-MS U–Pb geochronology of the detrital zircons from the Jiangxian Group in the Zhongtiao Mountain and its tectonic significance. Acta Petrol Sin 24(6):1359–1368 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Li QG, Chen X, Liu SW, Wang ZQ, Zhou YK, Zhang J, Wang T (2009) Evaluating the Provenance of Metasedimentary rocks of the Jiangxian Group from the Zhongtiao Mountain using whole rock geochemistry and detrital zircon Hf isotope. Acta Geol Sin 83:550–561
- Li Q, Liu S, Wang Z, Shen Y, Zhang L, Zhang J (2011) Provenance and geotectonic setting of the Palaeoproterozoic Zhongtiao Group and implications for assembly of the North China Craton: whole-rock geochemistry and detrital zircon data. J GeolSocLond 168:1215–1224
- Li NB, Luo Y, Guo SL, Jiang YH, Zeng LJ, Niu HC (2013) Zircon U– Pb geochronology and Hf isotope geochemistry of metamorphic quartz-monzonite porphyry from Tongkuangyu area, Zhongtiao Mountain and its geological implications. Acta Petrol Sin 29(7):2416–2424 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Liu JZ (2000) Basemental terrane tectonic frame and origin of geochemical heterogeneity, North China Craton. Postdoctoral Researching Report of Institute of Geochemistry Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Liu JZ, Ouyang ZY (2003) A preliminary discussion about origin of geochemical heterogeneity—an example from Luliang-Zhongtiao Paleoproterozoic rift. Bull Mineral Petrol Geochem 22(1):1–7 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Liu JZ, Zhang FQ, Ouyang ZY, Li CL, Zou YL, Xu L (2001) Study on geochemistry and chronology of metamorphosed basic volcanics from Jiehekou Group, Shanxi Province. Sci China (Ser D) 31(2):111–118 (in Chinese)
- Liu SW, Zhang C, Liu CH, Li QG, Lv YJ, Yu SQ, Tian W, Feng YG (2007) EPMA Th–U–Pb dating of monazite for Zhongtiao and Lüliang Precambrian metamorphic complexes. Earth Sci Front 14(1):064–074 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Liu YS, Hu ZC, Gao S, Günther D, Xu J, Gao CG, Chen HH (2008) In situ analysis of major and trace elements of anhydrous minerals by LA-ICP-MS without applying an internal standard. Chem Geol 257:34–43
- Liu SW, Li QG, Zhang LF (2009) Geology, geochemistry of metamorphic volcanic rock suite in Precambrian Yejishan Group, Lüliang mountains and its tectonic implications. Acta Petrol Sin 25(3):547–560 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Liu YS, Gao S, Hu ZC, Gao CG, Zong KQ, Wang DB (2010) Continental and oceanic crust recycling-induced melt-peridotite interactions in the Trans-North China Orogen: U–Pb dating, Hf isotopes and trace elements in zircons of mantle xenoliths. J Petrol 51:537–571
- Liu CH, Zhao GC, Sun M, Wu FY, Yang JH, Yin CQ, Leung WH (2011) U–Pb and Hf isotopic study of detrital zircons from the Yejishan Group of the Lüliang Complex: constraints on the timing of collision between the Eastern and Western Blocks, North China Craton. SedGeol 236:129–140
- Liu CH, Zhao GC, Sun M, Zhang J, Yin CQ (2012a) U-Pb geochronology and Hf isotope geochemistry of detrital zircons

from the Zhongtiao Complex: constraints on the tectonic evolution of the Trans-North China Orogen. Precambrian Res 222–223:159–172

- Liu SW, Zhang J, Li QG, Zhang LF, Wang W, Yang PT (2012b) Geochemistry and U–Pb zircon ages of metamorphic volcanic rocks of the Paleoproterozoic Lüliang Complex and constraints on the evolution of the Trans-North China Orogen, North China Craton. Precambrian Res 222–223:173–190
- Liu CH, Liu FL, Zhao GC (2013) Provenance and tectonic setting of the Jiehekou Group in the Lüliang Complex: Constraints from zircon U–Pb age and Hf isotopic studies. Acta Petrol Sin 29(2):517–532 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Liu CH, Zhao GC, Liu FL, Shi JR (2014a) 2.2 Ga magnesianandesites, Nb-enriched basalt-andesites, and adakitic rocks in the Lüliang Complex: evidence for early Paleoproterozoic subduction in the North China Craton. Lithos 208–209:104–117
- Liu CH, Zhao GC, Liu FL, Shi JR (2014b) Geochronological and geochemical constraints on the Lüliang Group in the Lüliang Complex: implications for the tectonic evolution of the Trans-North China Orogen. Lithos 198–199:298–315
- Liu X, Fan HR, Qiu ZJ, Yang KF, Hu FF, Guo SL, Zhao FC (2015) Formation ages of the Jiangxian and Zhongtiao groups in the Zhongtiao Mountain region, North China Craton: Insights from SIMS U–Pb dating on zircons of intercalated plagioclase amphibolites. Acta Petrol Sin 31(6):1564–1572 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Ludwig KR (2003) User's manual for isoplot 3.00: a geochronological toolkit for Microsoft excel, special publication 4a. Berkeley Geochronology Center, Berkeley, California
- Oneil J, Rizo H, Boyet M, Carlson RW (2016) Geochemistry and Nd isotopic characteristics of Earth's Hadean mantle and primitive crust. Earth Planet Sci Lett 442:194–205
- Ouyang ZY, Liu JZ, Zhang FQ, Wang SJ, Xu L (2002) A preliminary study on the origin and evolution of the planetary earth's heterogeneity. Earth Sci Front 9(3):23–30 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Polat A, Hofmann AW (2003) Alteration and geochemical patterns in the 3.7–3.8 Ga Isua greenstone belt, West Greenland. Precambrian Res 126(3):197–218
- Qi L, Hu J, Gregoire DC (2000) Determination of trace elements in granites by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Talanta 51(3):507–513
- Smithies RH, Champion DC, Sun SS (2004) Evidence for early LREE-enriched Mantle Source Regions: Diverse Magmas from the c. 3.0 Ga Mallina Basin, Pilbara Craton, NW Australia. J Petrol 45(8):1515–1537
- Smithies RH, Kranendonk MJV, Champion DC (2005a) It started with a plume-early Archaean basaltic proto-continental crust. Earth Planet SciLett 238(3–4):284–297
- Smithies RH, Champion DC, Kranendonk MJV, Howard HM, Hickman AH (2005b) Modern-style subduction processes in the Mesoarchaean: geochemical evidence from the 3.12 Ga Whundo intra-oceanic arc. Earth Planet SciLett 231(3–4):221–237
- Sun SS, McDonough WF (1989) Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic basalts: implications for mantle composition and processes. In: Saunders AD, Norry MJ (eds) Magmatism in oceanic basins. Geological Society, Special Publications, London, pp 313–345
- Sun DZ, Hu WX (1993) Precambrian chronotectonic framework and model of chronocrustal structure of the Zhongtiao Mountains. Geological Publishing House, Beijing (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Sun SS, Hickman AH (1999) Geochemical characteristics of ca 3.0-Ga Cleaverville greenstones and later mafic dykes, west Pilbara:

implication for Archaean crustal accretion. Agso Res Newsl 31(31):25-29

- Sun DZ, Li HM, Lin YX, Zhou HF, Zhao FQ, Tang M (1991) Precambrian geochronology, chronotectonic framework and model of chronocrustal structure of the Zhongtiao Mountains. ActaGeol Sin 65(3):216–231 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Taylor SR, McLennan SM (1985) The continental crust: its composition and evolution. Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford, pp 1–312
- Tian W, Liu SW, Liu CH, Yu SQ, Li QG, Wang YR (2005) Zircon SHRIMP geochronology and geochemical of TTG rocks in Sushui Complex from Zhongtiao Mountains with its geological implication. Prog Nat Sci 15(12):1476–1484 (in Chinese)
- Tian H, Wang HC, Ren YW, Xiang ZQ, Liu H, Li HK (2014) Petrographic characteristics, LA-ICP-MS zircon U–Pb dating and affinity of Jiehekou Rock Group in Yunzhong Mountain-Guandi Mountain area, Shanxi Province. Geol Bull China 33(5):672–683 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Wan YS, Geng YS, Shen QH, Zhang RX (2000) Khondalite series: Geochronology and geochemistry of the Jiehekou Group in Lüliang area, Shanxi Province. Acta Petrol Sin 16(1):49–58 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Wang X (2014) Geochronological and geochemical constraints on the tectonic evolution of the Lüliang Complex, Trans-North China Orogen. Ph.D. thesis of NanJing University (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Wang X, Zhu WB, Ge RF, Luo M, Zhu XQ, Zhang QL, Wang LS, Ren XM (2014) Two episodes of Paleoproterozoic metamorphosed mafic dykes in the Lüliang Complex: Implications for the evolution of the Trans-North China Orogen. Precambrian Res 243:133–148
- Wang W, Liu SW, Bai X, Guo RR (2015) Precambrian geodynamics (VIII): late Archean crustal growth models recorded in the North China Craton. Earth Sci Front 22(6):109–124 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Wang HC, Miao PS, Kang JL, Ren YW, Zhang JH, Li JR, Xiang ZQ, Xiao ZB (2020) New evidence for the formation age of the Lüliang Group. Acta Petrol Sin 36(8):2313–2330 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Wu YB, Zheng YF (2004) Genesis of zircon and its constraints on interpretation of U–Pb age. Chin Sci Bull 49(15):1554–1569
- Xiao LL, Liu FL (2015) Precambrian metamorphic history of the metamorphic complexes in the Trans-North China Orogen, North China Craton. Acta Petrol Sin 31(10):3012–3044
- Xiao LL, Clarke G, Liu FL, Wu CM (2017) Discovery of mafic granulite in the Guandishan area of the Lüliang complex, North China Craton: age and metamorphic evolution. Precambrian Res 303:604–625
- Xu CL, Xu YH, Zhang X (1994) The sequence and age of the Zhongtiaoshan metamorphic rock series. RegGeol China 3:268–273 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Yang CH, Du LL, Ren LD, Song HX, Geng YS, Wan YB, Lu ZL, Wang H, Li YH (2015) The age and tectonic setting of metavolcanic rocks in the Tongkuangyu deposit, Zhongtiao Mountain, and their constraints on copper mineralization. ActaGeosci Sin 36(5):613–633 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Yang CH, Du LL, Song HX, Ren LD, Miao PS, Lu ZL (2018) Stratigraphic division and correlation of the Paleoproterozoic strata in the North China Cration: a review. Acta Petrol Sin 34(4):1019–1057 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Yu JH, Wang DZ, Wang CY (1997) Geochemical characteristics and petrogenesis of the early proterozoic bimodal volcanic rocks from Lüliang Group, Shanxi Province. Acta Petrol Sin 13(1):59–70 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Yu JH, Wang DZ, Wang CY, Wang LJ (2004) Paleoproterozoic granitic magmatism and metamorphism in middle part of

Lüliang range, Shanxi Province. Geol J China Univ 10(4):500–513 (in Chinese with English abstract)

- Zhai MG (2011) Cratonization and the Ancient North China continent: a summary and review. Sci China (Earth Sciences) 54(8):1110–1120
- Zhai MG (2019) Tectonic evolution of the North China Craton. J Geomech 25(5):722–745 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zhai MG, Bian AG, Zhao TP (2000) The amalgamation of the supercontinent of North China craton at the end of Neoarchaean and its breakup during the Late Palaeoproterozoic and Mesoproterozoic. Sci China (Ser D) 43(1):219–232
- Zhai MG, Xiao WJ, Kusky TM, Santosh M (2007) Tectonic evolution of China and adjacent crustal fragments. Gondwana Res 12(1-2):1-3
- Zhang H (2012) Metallogenesis of Paleoproterozoic copper deposits in the Northern Zhongtiaoshan Mountains, Shanxi Province. Ph.D. thesis of JiLin University (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zhang RY (2015) The composition and evolution of the Sushui complex in the Zhongtiao Mountains, the south of North China Craton. Ph.D. thesis of Northwest University (**in Chinese with English abstract**)
- Zhang H (2017) Geochronological and geochemical constraints on the tectonic evolution of the Lüliang Complex, Trans-North China Orogen. Master's dissertation of NanJing University (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zhang QC, Dong YB, Yuan HH, Xu CL (1988) Sm-Nd age of the uppermost strata of the Wutai Group, Lüliang Mt. area and the specific feature of mantle at the magmatic source region. J Chengdu Coll Geol 15(1):76–85 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zhang FQ, Liu JZ, Ouyang ZY (1998) Greenstone petro-tectonic framework of cratonic basement, North China. Acta Geophys Sin 41(Suppl. 1):99–107 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zhang ZQ, Xue WY, Zi JZ, Wei YF (2003) Features of the basement rocks in South-western Part of Zhongtiao Mountains. Geol Surv Res 26(4):193–199 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zhang RY, Zhang CL, Sun Y (2013) Crustal reworking in the North China Craton at ~2.5 Ga: evidence from zircon U–Pb ages, Hf isotopes and whole-rock geochemistry of the TTG gneisses in the Zhongtiao Mountain. Acta Petrol Sin 29(7):2265–2280 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zhao FQ (2006) Geochronologic and geochemical constraints on the Paleoproterozoic crustal evolution of Zhongtiao Mountains from Shanxi Province. Ph.D. thesis of China University of Geosciences (Beijing) (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zhao B (2013) Volcano-magma-mineralization processing and metallogenic prediction of Dazhongtiao area. Ph.D. thesis of Chang' An University (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zhao GC, Wilde SA, Cawood PA, Lu LZ (1998) Thermal evolution of the Archaean basement rocks from the eastern part of the North China Craton and its bearing on tectonic setting. IntGeol Rev 40(8):706–721
- Zhao GC, Sun M, Wilde SA, Li SZ (2005) Neoarchaean to Palaeoproterozoic evolution of the North China Craton: key issues revisited. Precambrian Res 136(2):177–202
- Zhao GC, Wilde SA, Sun M, Li SZ, Li XP, Zhang J (2008) SHRIMP U–Pb zircon ages of granitoid rocks in the Lüliang Complex: implications for the accretion and evolution of the Trans-North China Orgen. Precambrian Res 160(3–4):213–226
- Zhao J, Zhang CL, Liu XY, Guo XJ (2017) Protolith age and geochemical characteristics of meta-basic volcanic rocks from Lüliang Group in the Lüliang complex and its tectonic setting. Chin J Geol 52(4):1220–1240 (in Chinese with English abstract)